TITLE V BLOCK GRANT APPLICATION FORMS (1-21)

STATE: ID

APPLICATION YEAR: 2005

- FORM 1 SF424
- FORM 2 MCH BUDGET DETAILS
- FORM 3 STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE
- FORM 4 BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED AND SOURCES OF FEDERAL FUNDS
- FORM 5 STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES
- FORM 6 NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF NEWBORN AND OTHERS SCREENED, CASE CONFIRMED, AND TREATED
- FORM 7 NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (UNDUPLICATED) UNDER TITLE V
- FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX
- FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA
- Form 10 Title V Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant State Profile for FY 2004
- FORM 11 NATIONAL AND STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURES
- FORM 12 NATIONAL AND STATE OUTCOME MEASURES
- FORM 13 CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CHILDREN WITH SPECIAL HEALTH CARE NEEDS
- FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS
- FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE (TA) REQUEST AND TRACKING
- FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE/OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEETS
- FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEM CAPACITY INDICATORS (01 THROUGH 04,07,08) MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 18
 - o MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON
 - o MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
 - SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
- FORM 19
 - O GENERAL MCH DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09A)
 - O ADOLESCENT TOBACCO USE DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09B)
 - OVERWEIGHT AND OBESITY DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09C)
- FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS 01-05 MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 21
 - O POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 06)
 - O LIVE BIRTH DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 07)
 - O INFANT AND CHILDREN MORTALITY DATA (HSI 08)
 - O MISCELLANEOUS DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 09)
 - O GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREA DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 10)
 - o POVERTY LEVEL DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 11)
 - O POVERTY LEVEL FOR CHILDREN DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 12)

APPLICATIO	N FOR FEDE	ERAL AS		2. DATE SUBMITTED 7/12/2004		APPLICANT IDENTIFIER
1. TYPE OF SUBI	MISSION:			3. DATE RECEIVED BY	/ STATE	STATE APPLICATION IDENTIFIER
Application Construction Non-Construct	ction	Pre-applica Const Non-C	lē.	4. DATE RECEIVED BY	/ FEDERAL AGENCY	FEDERAL IDENTIFIER
5. APPLICANT IN						
Legal Name:				Organizational Unit:		
Address (give city P.O. Box 83720 450 West State S Boise , ID 83720 County: Ada	, county, state a	nd zip code	2)	1	code) ke	be contacted on matters involving this
6. EMPLOYER ID	ENTIFICATION 6 0 0	NUMBER 0 9	(EIN): 9 5	A. State H. B. County I. S. C. Municiple J. D. Township K.		strict
8. TYPE OF APPL		Revision		9 NAME OF FEDERA Health Resources ar		ation, Maternal and Child Health Bureau
If Revision, enter a A. Increase Award B. D Decrease Duration Other	Decrease Award C. I					
10. CATALOG OF NUMBER:	FEDERAL DOI	MESTIC AS	SSISTANCE		TLE OF APPLICANT'S rvices DUNS: 82-520-1	
9 3 TITLE: Maternal a 12. AREAS AFFE states, etc.): State of Idaho						
13. PROPOSED F	PROJECT:			14. CONGRESSION	AL DISTRICTS OF:	
Start Date: 10/01/2004		ling Date: 30/2005		a. Applicant 1-2		b. Project 1-2
15. ESTIMATED F a. Federal \$ b. Applicant \$ c. State \$ d. Local \$ e. Other \$ f. Program Income \$	3,3i 3 3 1,0i 3 1,5i	87,761.00 0.00 00,000.00 40,821.00 0.00	a. YES, THIS PI ORDER 1237 DATE: 7/1/20 b. NO PRO	REAPPLICATION/APPL 22 PROCESS FOR REV 04 DGRAM IS NOT COVER PROGRAM HAS NOT B	ICATION WAS MADE . (IEW ON ED BY E.O. 12372 EEN SELECTED BY S	
g. TOTAL \$	5,92	28,582.00		ICANT DELINQUENT (, attach an explanation		ВТ
	BEEN DULY A	UTHORIZE	D BY THE GOVE	ERNING BODY OF THE		ON ARE TRUE AND CORRECT. THE E APPLICANT WILL COMPLY BY THE
a. Typed Name of Karl B Kurtz	Authorized Rep	oresentative	1		b. Title Director	c. Telephone Number 208-334-5500
d. Signature of Au	thorized Repres	sentative				e. Date Signed

Previous Editions Not Usable

Standard Form 424 (REV. 4-88) Prescribed by OMB A-102

FORM MCH BUDGET DETA [Secs. 504 (d) and STATE	AILS FOR FY 2005		
1. FEDERAL ALLOCATION (Item 15a of the Application Face Sheet [SF 424]) Of the Federal Allocation (1 above), the amount earmarked for: A.Preventive and primary care for children: \$ 1,045,977 (30.88%) B.Children with special health care needs: \$ 1,499,391 (44.26%)		\$	3,387,761
(If either A or B is less than 30%, a waiver request must accompany the applic C.Title V admininstrative costs: \$ 338,776 (10%) (The above figure cannot be more than 10%)[Sec. 504(d)]	ation)[Sec. 505(a)(3)]		
2. UNOBLIGATED BALANCE (Item 15b of SF 424)		\$	0
3. STATE MCH FUNDS (Item 15c of the SF 424)		\$	1,000,000
4. LOCAL MCH FUNDS (Item 15d of SF 424)		\$	1,540,821
5. OTHER FUNDS (Item 15e of SF 424)		\$	0
6. PROGRAM INCOME (Item 15f of SF 424)		\$	0
7. TOTAL STATE MATCH (Lines 3 through 6)		\$	2,540,821
(Below is your State's FY 1989 Maintainence of Effort Amount) \$ 2,141,219		<u> </u>	_,,,,,
8. FEDERAL-STATE TITLE V BLOCK GRANT PA (Total lines 1 through 6. Same as line 15g of SF 424) 9. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS		\$	5,928,582
(Funds under the control of the person responsible for the administration of the T			
a. SPRANS:	\$0		
b. SSDI:	\$0		
c. CISS:	\$0		
d. Abstinence Education:	\$0		
e. Healthy Start:	\$0		
f. EMSC:	\$0		
g. WIC:	\$ 17,744,363		
h. AIDS:	\$ 2,081,601		
i. CDC:	\$0		
j. Education:	\$0		
k. Other:			
ACF - TANF	\$1,100,000		
CDC - Immunization	\$ 1,767,802		
CDC - STD	\$ 431,229		
CDC - WHC	\$ 2,244,190		
PHS - Title X	\$ 1,514,070		
10. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (SUBTOTAL of all Funds under item	9)	\$	26,883,255
11. STATE MCH BUDGET TOTAL	•	\$	32,811,837
(Partnership subtotal + Other Federal MCH Funds subtotal)		Φ	32,011,037

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main Field Name: StateMCHFunds Row Name: State MCH Funds

Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

State General Fund used to purchase childhood vaccines.

Section Number: Main Field Name: LocalMCHFunds Row Name: Local MCH Funds

Column Name:

Year: 2005
Field Note:
This funding compises district health department local funds invested in their immunization and reproductive health programs - the maximum available for immunizations (estimated at \$857,000 based on 03' contribution) and the balance from reproductive health.

STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

STATE: ID

	FY 2	2003	FY 2	2004	FY 2	2005
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$3,381,284	\$2,666,211	\$3,373,876	\$0	\$3,387,761	\$0
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$ 2,535,963	\$ 600,250	\$1,830,000	\$0	\$1,000,000	\$0
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$0	\$ 1,399,409	\$ 700,407	\$0	\$1,540,821	\$0
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$160,000	\$0	\$ 195,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$6,077,247	\$4,665,870	\$6,099,283	\$0	\$5,928,582	\$0
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE E	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	IERSHIP)	
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$ 25,415,660	\$ 23,590,372	\$ 30,060,000	\$0	\$ 26,883,255	\$0
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$31,492,907	\$28,256,242	\$ 36,159,283	\$ <u> </u>	\$32,811,837	\$0
			(STATE MCH B	UDGET TOTAL)		

STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

STATE: ID

	FY 2	2000	FY 2	2001	FY 2	2002
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$3,303,178	\$3,328,990	\$3,303,178	\$3,325,763	\$3,325,763	\$3,381,284
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$2,477,384	\$ 26,024	\$	\$ 32,510	\$	\$1,802,366
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$0	\$3,719,888	\$0	\$3,977,032	\$0	\$ 733,597
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$ 160,000	\$ 182,795	\$160,000	\$ 181,134	\$ 160,000	\$ 185,010
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$5,940,562	\$	\$5,940,562	\$	\$5,980,086	\$6,102,257
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE E	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	IERSHIP)	
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$	\$20,949,111	\$ 22,206,943	\$\$	\$\$	\$\$
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$26,934,575	\$28,206,808	\$28,147,505	\$	\$	\$35,006,418
			(STATE MCH B	UDGET TOTAL)		

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main

Field Name: FedAllocExpended Row Name: Federal Allocation Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

The entire block grant award for fiscal years 03' and 04' was not spent within the first year of the grant cycle. State general funds became availalbe on a one time basis and were used to offset MCH expenditures. The 03' funds will be spent first and excess 04' cycle funds that will result are currenlty being used for two projects: MCH 5 year needs assessment which will be conducted by Health Systems Research, Inc, and a childhood obesity prevention project.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: StateMCHFundsExpended

Row Name: State Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2002 Field Note:

Because of the inability to enter data the local match section of the previous year's form 2 in any amount less than 75%, both state and local funds were included in the state funds section.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: StateMCHFundsExpended

Row Name: State Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Because of the inability to enter data the local match section of the previous year's form 2 in any amount less than 75%, both state and local funds were included in the state funds section

Section Number: Main

Field Name: LocalMCHFundsExpended

Row Name: Local MCH Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2002 Field Note:

see explanation in line above.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: LocalMCHFundsExpended

Row Name: Local MCH Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Because of the inability to enter data the local match section of the previous year's form 2 in any amount less than 75%, both state and local funds were included in the state funds section.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: ProgramIncomeExpended Row Name: Program Income

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2002 Field Note:

We collected more in receipts than we anticpated. These are funds collected from insurance companies for services provided to clients of CSHP.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: ProgramIncomeExpended

Row Name: Program Income Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003

A decision made by the Department for all grants is to report only the required match. While general fund revenues were generated, they are not needed to meet the MCH block grant requirements. This will allow funds to be used as for other grant match requirements and eliminate the potential for them to be double reported.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: OtherFedFundsExpended Row Name: Other Federal Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2002 Field Note:

Change of MCH Director. Not certain which federal grants under the direct supervision of previous MCH director was used to calculate budgeted amount, but the actual expended reflects expenditures for all federal grants under current MCH director supervision for FY 02.

BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

STATE: ID

		FY 2003				FY 2004				FY 2005			
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	Bu	DGETED	Ex	PENDED	Bu	DGETED	Exi	PENDED	Βυ	DGETED	EXPENDE	:D	
a. Pregnant Women	\$	298,646	\$	251,509	\$	226,154	\$	0	\$	436,249	\$	0	
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$	1,083,613	\$	531,683	\$	1,371,204	\$	0	\$	1,090,307	\$	0	
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$	1,931,603	\$	1,152,946	\$	2,026,582	\$	0	\$	1,953,696	\$	0	
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$	2,115,128	\$	2,155,602	\$	1,751,236	\$	0	\$	1,540,665	\$	0	
e. Others	\$	310,129	\$	274,462	\$	386,719	\$	0	\$	568,889	\$	0	
f. Administration	\$	338,128	\$	299,668	\$	337,388	\$	0	\$_	338,776	\$	0	
g. SUBTOTAL	\$	6,077,247	\$	4,665,870	\$	6,099,283	\$	0	\$	5,928,582	\$	0	
II. Other Federal Funds (under the	contr	ol of the person re	espo	onsible for admini	stra	tion of the Title V	prog	ram).					
a. SPRANS	\$	0			\$	0		,	\$	0			
b. SSDI	\$_	0	ĺ		\$	0			\$	0			
c. CISS	\$_	0			\$	0			\$	0			
d. Abstinence Education	\$_	0	j		\$	0			\$	0			
e. Healthy Start	\$	0	j		\$	0			\$	0			
f. EMSC	\$_	0	ĺ		\$	0			\$	0			
g. WIC	\$	18,357,000			\$	22,239,500			\$	17,744,363			
h. AIDS	\$_	1,987,000			\$	2,417,700			\$	2,081,601			
i. CDC	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0			
j. Education	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0			
k.Other													
ACF - TANF	\$ <u></u>	0			\$	0			\$	1,100,000			
CDC - Immunization	\$	3,261,000			\$	0			\$	1,767,802			
CDC - STD	\$	0			\$	0			\$	431,229			
CDC - WHC	\$	0			\$	0			\$	2,244,190			
PHS - Title X	\$	0			\$	0			\$	1,514,070			
CDC Immunization	\$	0			\$	3,443,600			\$	0			
CDC STD	\$_	0			\$	307,100			\$	0			
Title X	\$_	1,420,660			\$	1,652,100			\$	0			
CDC - STD	\$_	390,000			\$	0			\$	0			
III. SUBTOTAL	\$	25,415,660	j		\$	30,060,000			\$	26,883,255			

BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

STATE: ID

		FY 2	2000		FY 2	2001			FY 2	2002	
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	Вυ	DGETED	EXPENDED	Bui	DGETED	Exp	PENDED	Bui	DGETED	EXP	ENDED
a. Pregnant Women	\$	311,745	\$ 286,872	\$	355,916	\$	302,687	\$	351,659	\$	136,122
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$	1,065,214	\$ 1,412,806	\$	1,062,264	\$	1,669,717	\$	978,036	\$	1,166,161
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$	1,987,653	\$ 2,663,653	\$	2,072,703	\$	2,720,921	\$	2,070,622	\$	1,911,485
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$	1,996,883	\$ 2,381,596	\$	1,870,611	\$	2,141,716	\$	2,000,763	\$	2,275,117
e. Others	\$	310,191	\$ 310,097	\$	310,191	\$	352,052	\$	310,129	\$	345,478
f. Administration	\$	268,876	\$ 202,673	\$	268,877	\$	329,346	\$	268,877	\$	338,128
g. SUBTOTAL	\$	5,940,562	\$	\$	5,940,562	\$	7,516,439	\$	5,980,086	\$	6,172,491
II. Other Federal Funds (under the	ontr	ol of the person re	esponsible for admini	strati	ion of the Title V	prog	ram).				
a. SPRANS	\$	0		\$	0			\$	0		
b. SSDI	\$_	70,000		\$	100,000			\$	0		
c. CISS	\$_	0		\$	0			\$	0		
d. Abstinence Education	\$	205,228		\$	205,228			\$	205,228		
e. Healthy Start	\$	0		\$	0			\$	0		
f. EMSC	\$	0		\$	0			\$	0		
g. WIC	\$	17,331,917		\$	17,703,074			\$	17,747,383		
h. AIDS	\$	1,338,140		\$	1,394,302			\$	1,250,586	ĺ	
i. CDC	\$	677,808		\$	0			\$	0		
j. Education	\$	0		\$	0			\$	0	ĺ	
k.Other]										
CDC-Immunization	\$	0		\$	1,438,989			\$	1,163,979		
CDC-STD	\$	338,625		\$	370,146			\$	384,146		
Title X	\$	1,032,295		\$	995,204			\$	901,372		
III. SUBTOTAL	1 \$	20,994,013		\$	22,206,943			\$	21,652,694		

no comments

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: PregWomenExpended Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Expended

Year: 2002 Field Note:

Funds were shifted to CSHP to cover the program's expenses exceeding the budgeted amount and to cover the underestimated indirect rate (administration). Fewer dollars were also need to cover Idaho's careline, which is cost allocated across the 4 areas of pregnancy, <1, 1-22, and CSHP.

2. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: PregWomenExpended Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

The FFY 03' budget included expenses beyond the 75% block grant requirement. The Idaho Department of Health and Welfare made an adminstrative decision to only report required match on grants. This is why the federal and state partnership appears to be less that budgeted. This change in policy has been accounted for in the FFY 05' projected budget.

3. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children_0_1Expended Row Name: Infants <1 year old Column Name: Expended

Year: 2002 Field Note:

Funds in excess of what was budgeted was used in the immuzation program.

4. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children_0_1Expended Row Name: Infants <1 year old Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

The FFY 03' budget included expenses beyond the 75% block grant requirement. The Idaho Department of Health and Welfare made an adminstrative decision to only report required match on grants. This is why the federal and state partnership appears to be less that budgeted. This change in policy has been accounted for in the FFY 05' projected budget.

5. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children_1_22Expended Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years old

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

The FFY 03' budget included expenses beyond the 75% block grant requirement. The Idaho Department of Health and Welfare made an adminstrative decision to only report required match on grants. This is why the federal and state partnership appears to be less that budgeted. This change in policy has been accounted for in the FFY 05' projected budget.

6. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: CSHCNExpended Row Name: CSHCN Column Name: Expended Year: 2002

Field Note:

The amount that we anticipate spending in CSHP tends to consistently underestimate the amount we actually spend. Program costs continue to increase and as funds are underspent in other MCH funded programs, they are shifted to CSHP.

7. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: CSHCNExpended Row Name: CSHCN Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

8. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AllOthersExpended Row Name: All Others Column Name: Expended

Year: 2002 Field Note:

The percent of clients served in reproductive health outside the 1-22 age group was much larger than anticipated. Therefore as a percentage of the total MCH dollars spent in reproductive health, the amount actually spent was higher.

9. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AllOthersExpended Row Name: All Others Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003

Field Note

The FFY 03' budget included expenses beyond the 75% block grant requirement. The Idaho Department of Health and Welfare made an adminstrative decision to only report required match on grants. This is why the federal and state partnership appears to be less that budgeted. This change in policy has been accounted for in the FFY 05' projected budget.

10. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AdminExpended Row Name: Administration Column Name: Expended

Year: 2002 Field Note:

The budgeted amount was incorrect. It should always be 10% of the total grant award.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership Field Name: AdminExpended Row Name: Administration

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003

Field Note:
The FY 03' block grant was underspent because one time general funds were used to offset MCH expenses. The amount included on this line equals 10% of the total block grant expenses. This is the amount that is used to cover Department indirects.

STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

STATE: ID

Type of Service	FY 2	2003	FY :	2004	FY 2005		
TYPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$	\$\$	\$	\$0	\$	\$0	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$48,000	\$\$	\$41,136	\$0	\$1,887,000	\$0	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$\$	\$1,236,702	\$3,134,833	\$0	\$	\$0	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$	\$ 663,964	\$576,263	\$0	\$841,931	\$0	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$6,077,247	\$4,665,870	\$6,099,283	\$0	\$5,928,582	\$0	

STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

STATE: ID

Type of Service	FY 2	2000	FY :	2001	FY 2002		
TYPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$ 2,631,389	\$	\$	\$ 2,843,490	\$ 2,627,740	\$	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$60,000	\$19,011	\$48,000	\$	\$48,000	\$\$	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$\$	\$3,624,156	\$\$	\$3,894,503	\$\$	\$\$	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$ 724,923	\$ 586,668	\$	\$ 773,733	\$	\$ 721,019	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$5,940,562	\$	\$5,940,562	\$7,516,439	\$5,980,086	\$5,877,859	

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main

Field Name: DirectHCExpended Row Name: Direct Health Care Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2002 Field Note:

A comment was made during last year's review that CSHP spends 46.9% of the MCH federal allocation and there was no discussin of the challenges. This is a challenge for Idaho. Last year the division of health put together a proposal for reducing the budget burden CSHP places on the overall grant. Cost saving measures included: eliminating orthopedics as a diagnostics category covered by the program; reducing district contracts for providing case coordination for children who are enrolled in the program, but also qualify for Medicaid and elininating the provision of formula to adults with PKU. The Governor's Office directed the division to continue providing services with no changes. Once again, this year we will prepare another proposal, likely very similar, and submit it to the Governor's Office for approval. If it's not approved, we intend to identify other resources to fund the program and reduce the MCH commitment to the level actually allocated to CSHP in our budget proposal.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: EnablingExpended Row Name: Enabling Services Column Name: Expended

Year: 2002 Field Note:

Careline was budgeted to spend \$48,000 for year and only spent \$27,858. This is a cost allocation program, so fewer calls by percent of total were billed to MCH.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: EnablingExpended Row Name: Enabling Services Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

The enabling services budget only includes the Idaho Careline. Anticipated expenditures were based on historical expenditures. Careline is set up on a cost allocation plan so that means the MCH share of the overall careline budget was less than anticipated.

Field Name: PopBasedExpended Row Name: Population-Based Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003

Field Note:

The FFY 03' budget included expenses beyond the 75% block grant requirement. The Idaho Department of Health and Welfare made an adminstrative decision to only report required match on grants. This is why the federal and state partnership appears to be less that budgeted. This change in policy has been accounted for in the FFY 05' projected budget.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: InfrastrBuildExpended Row Name: Infrastructure Building Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

The FFY 03' budget included expenses beyond the 75% block grant requirement. The Idaho Department of Health and Welfare made an adminstrative decision to only report required match on grants. This is why the federal and state partnership appears to be less that budgeted. This change in policy has been accounted for in the FFY 05'

projected budget.

	FORM 6													
NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF NEWBORNS AND OTHERS SCREENED, CASES CONFIRMED, AND TREATED														
Sect. 506(a)(2)(B)(iii)														
STATE: ID														
Total Births by Occurrence: 20,985 Reporting Year: 2003														
				•										
Type of Screening Tests (A) (A) Receiving at least one Screen (1) (B) No. of Presumptive Positive														
	No.	%	Screens	Cases (2)	No.	%								
Phenylketonuria	21,174	100.9	3	3	2	66.7								
Congenital Hypothyroidism	21,174	100.9	93	10	9	90								
Galactosemia	21,174	100.9	1	1	1	100								
Sickle Cell Disease	247	1.2	1	1	1	100								
Other Screening	(Specify)													
Medium Chain AcylCo-A Dehydrogenase (MCAD) 21,174 100.9 5 5 5 100														
Screening Progra	ams for Older Ch	nildren & Wome	n (Specify Tests	by name)										
(2) Report only the	(1) Use occurrent births as denominator. (2) Report only those from resident births. (3) Use number of confirmed cases as denominator.													
					·									

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main

Field Name: Phenylketonuria_OneScreenNo

Row Name: Phenylketonuria

Column Name: Receiving at least one screen

Field Note:

We have a 98% rate of testing. The number of children screened includes those adopted out of state and those who have moved in from other states without being tested, which accounts for more first screens performed than births.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: Congenital_OneScreenNo

Row Name: Congenital

Column Name: Receiving at least one screen

Year: 2005 Field Note:

We have a 98% rate of testing. The number of children screened includes those adopted out of state and those who have moved in from other states without being tested, which accounts for more first screens performed than births.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: Galactosemia_OneScreenNo Row Name: Galactosemia

Column Name: Receiving at least one screen

Year: 2005 Field Note:

We have a 98% rate of testing. The number of children screened includes those adopted out of state and those who have moved in from other states without being tested, which accounts for more first screens performed than births.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: SickleCellDisease_Presumptive

Row Name: SickleCellDisease

Column Name: Presumptive positive screens

Field Note:

Idaho had only one presumptive positive and it was later confirmed.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: Phenylketonuria Confirmed

Row Name: Phenylketonuria Column Name: Confirmed Cases

Year: 2005

Field Note:

Idaho had three presumptive positive screens for PKU and all three were confirmed.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: Galactosemia_Confirmed

Row Name: Galactosemia

Column Name: Confirmed Cases

Year: 2005 Field Note:

Idaho had only one presumptive positive galactosemia and it was confirmed.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: SickleCellDisease_Confirmed

Row Name: SickleCellDisease

Column Name: Confirmed Cases

Year: 2005 Field Note:

Idaho had only one presumptive positive sickle cell and it was confirmed.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: Phenylketonuria_TreatmentNo

Row Name: Phenylketonuria

Column Name: Needing treatment that received treatment

Year: 2005 Field Note:

one child with mild hyperphenylalanem needed no treatment.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: Congenital_TreatmentNo

Row Name: Congenital

Column Name: Needing treatment that received treatment

Year: 2005 Field Note:

One child treatment start date was unknown. Lost to follow-up.

10. Section Number: Other Screening Types

Field Name: Other Row Name: All Rows Column Name: All Columns

Year: 2005

All 21,174 test performed included the following disorders for which there were confirmed cases in 2003.

3-MCC Deficiency - 2 MCAD Deficiency - 5 TBG Deficiency - 2

Number of Individuals Served (Unduplicated) under Title V (BY Class of Individuals and Percent of Health Coverage)

[Sec. 506(a)(2)(A)(i-ii)]

STATE: ID

Reporting Year: 2003

	TITLE V	PRIMARY SOURCES OF COVERAGE								
Types of Individuals Served	(A) Total Served	(B) Title XIX %	(C) Title XXI %	(D) Private/Other %	(E) None %	(F) Unknown %				
Pregnant Women	2,256	75.0		11.0	14.0					
Infants < 1 year old	22,000	30.0		60.0	10.0					
Children 1 to 22 years old	51,348	40.0		5.0	55.0					
Children with Special Healthcare Needs	2,254	34.0		55.0	11.0					
Others	30,895	20.0		10.0	70.0					
TOTAL	108,753									

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main Field Name: Children_0_1_TS Row Name: Infants <1 year of age Column Name: Title V Total Served

Field Note:

estimated based on birth cohort - newborn metabolic and hearing screening.

Section Number: Main Field Name: Children_0_1_XIX Row Name: Infants <1 year of age Column Name: Title XIX %

Year: 2005 Field Note:

Estimated across average of population served by programs included in this category.

Section Number: Main Field Name: Children_1_22_XIX Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years of age

Column Name: Title XIX % Year: 2005

Field Note:

estimated across average of population served by programs included in this category.

Section Number: Main Field Name: AllOthers_XIX Row Name: Others Column Name: Title XIX %

Year: 2005

Field Note:

coverage is estimated based on average distribution across programs included in this section.

FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX (BY RACE AND ETHNICITY) [Sec. 506(A)(2)(C-D)] STATE: ID

Reporting Year: 2002

I. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY RACE

	(A) Total All Races	(B) White	(C) Black or African American	(D) American Indian or Native Alaskan	(E) Asian	(F) Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	(G) More than one race reported	(H) Other and Unknown
DELIVERIES								
Total Deliveries in State	20,449	19,444	102	346	301	28_	0	228
Title V Served	20,039	19,055	100	339	295	27	0	223
Eligible for Title XIX	6,134	5,833	31	104	90	8	0	68
INFANTS								
Total Infants in State	20,226	19,499	191	296	240			
Title V Served	191,803	191,090	187	290	236	0	0	0
Eligible for Title XIX	6,068	5,850	57	89	72	0	0	0

II. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY ETHNICITY

				HISPANIC OR LATINO (Sub-categories by country or area of origin)							
	(A) Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	(B) Total Hispanic or Latino	(C) Ethnicity Not Reported	(B.1) Mexican	(B.2) Cuban	(B.3) Puerto Rican	(B.4) Central and South American	(B.5) Other and Unknown			
DELIVERIES											
Total Deliveries in State	17,431	2,684	334	2,119	5	14	75	471			
Title V Served	17,082	2,630	327	2,077	5	14	74	462			
Eligible for Title XIX	5,229	805	100	636	2	4	23	141			
INFANTS											
Total Infants in State	17,608	2,618		2,066	5	14	73	460			
Title V Served	17,256	2,566	0	2,025	0	0	0	0			
Eligible for Title XIX	52,824	785	0	620	2	4	22	138			

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: DeliveriesTitleV_All Row Name: Title V Served Column Name: Total All Races

Year: 2005 Field Note:

98% of all infants born in Idaho are provided newborn hearing screening, so 98% of the total birth cohort was used to determine the number of infants served by Title V.

Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: DeliveriesTitleXIX_All Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX Column Name: Total All Races

Year: 2005 Field Note:

Approximately 30% of Idaho births are covered by Medicaid, so 30% of the total birth cohort was considered as receiving a Medicaid benefit.

Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: InfantsTotal_Asian Row Name: Total Infants in State

Column Name: Asian Year: 2005

Field Note:

Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander are included in Asian category.

Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: InfantsTotal_Hawaiian Row Name: Total Infants in State

Column Name: Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander

Year: 2005 Field Note:

Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander are included in Asian category.

Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: InfantsTotal_More

Row Name: Total Infants in State

Column Name: More Than One Race Reported

Year: 2005 Field Note:

More than one race data not available.

Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: InfantsTotal_RaceOther Row Name: Total Infants in State Column Name: Other and Unknown

Year: 2005 Field Note:

All races are known for population estimates.

Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: InfantsTitleV_All Row Name: Title V Served Column Name: Total All Races

Year: 2005 Field Note:

98% of the total birth cohort for this row was used as an estimate to determine the number of infants receiving title V services since 98% of all infants receive newborn

hearing screening.

Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: InfantsTitleXIX_All Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX Column Name: Total All Races

Year: 2005 Field Note:

The estimate used for this row is 30 percent of the total birth cohort because 30% of all deliveries are covered by Medicaid.

Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity Field Name: DeliveriesTitleV_TotalNotHispanic

Row Name: Title V Served

Column Name: Total Not Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2005 Field Note:

Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity Field Name: DeliveriesTitleV_TotalHispanic

Row Name: Title V Served

Year: 2005 Field Note:

Column Name: Total Hispanic or Latino

the total does not equal the sum of the subcategories due to rounding. 98% of the number listed in each subcategory was considered as receiving a Title V service.

98% of all infants born in Idaho are provided newborn hearing screening, so 98% of the total birth cohort was used to determine the number of infants served by Title V.

11. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: DeliveriesTitleV_Mexican Row Name: Title V Served

Column Name: Mexican Year: 2005

Field Note:

the total does not equal the sum of the subcategories due to rounding. 30% of the number listed in each subcategory was considered as receiving a Medicaid benefit.

Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity Field Name: DeliveriesTitleXIX_TotalNotHispanic

Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX

Column Name: Total Not Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2005 Field Note:

Approximately 30% of Idaho births are covered by Medicaid, so 30% of the total birth cohort was considered as receiving a Medicaid benefit.

 Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity Field Name: DeliveriesTitleXIX_TotalHispanic

Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX
Column Name: Total Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2005 Field Note:

the total does not equal the sum of the subcategories due to rounding. 30% of the number listed in each subcategory was considered as receiving a Medicaid benefit.

14. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: DeliveriesTitleXIX_Mexican

Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX Column Name: Mexican

Year: 2005 Field Note:

the total does not equal the sum of the subcategories due to rounding. 30% of the number listed in each subcategory was considered as receiving a Medicaid benefit.

15. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTotal_NotReported Row Name: Total Infants in State Column Name: Ethnicity Not Reported

Year: 2005 Field Note:

Data is not collected based on ethnicity not reported.

16. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTotal_Mexican Row Name: Total Infants in State Column Name: Mexican Year: 2005

Field Note:

Hispanic population estimates by age by ethnic sub-category not available. This is an estimate based on number of babies born in 2002 by ethnic sub-category.

17. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTotal_Cuban Row Name: Total Infants in State Column Name: Cuban

Year: 2005 Field Note:

Hispanic population estimates by age by ethnic sub-category not available. This is an estimate based on number of babies born in 2002 by ethnic sub-category.

18. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTotal_PuertoRican Row Name: Total Infants in State Colum Name: Puerto Rican

Year: 2005 Field Note:

Hispanic population estimates by age by ethnic sub-category not available. This is an estimate based on number of babies born in 2002 by ethnic sub-category.

19. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTotal_CentralAmerican Row Name: Total Infants in State Column Name: Central and South American Year: 2005

Year: 2005 Field Note:

Hispanic population estimates by age by ethnic sub-category not available. This is an estimate based on number of babies born in 2002 by ethnic sub-category.

20. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTotal_EthnicityOther Row Name: Total Infants in State Column Name: Other and Unknown

Year: 2005 Field Note:

Hispanic population estimates by age by ethnic sub-category not available. This is an estimate based on number of babies born in 2002 by ethnic sub-category.

21. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTitleV_TotalNotHispanic
Row Name: Title V Served

Column Name: Total Not Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2005 Field Note:

98% of all infants born in Idaho are provided newborn hearing screening, so 98% of the total birth cohort was used to determine the number of infants served by Title V.

22. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTitleV_TotalHispanic

Row Name: Title V Served

Column Name: Total Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2005 Field Note:

the total does not equal the sum of the subcategories due to rounding. 98% of the number listed in each subcategory was considered as receiving a Title V service.

23. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTitleXIX_TotalNotHispanic

Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX

Column Name: Total Not Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2005 Field Note:

Approximately 30% of Idaho births are covered by Medicaid, so 30% of the total birth cohort was considered as receiving a Medicaid benefit.

24. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTitleXIX_TotalHispanic

Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX
Column Name: Total Hispanic or Latino
Year: 2005
Field Note:
the total does not equal the sum of the subcategories due to rounding. 30% of the number listed in each subcategory was considered as receiving a Medicaid benefit.

FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(B)] STATE: ID

	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002	FY 2001
State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Telephone Number	800 926-2588 or 211	800 926-2588 or 211	(800) 926-2588	(800) 926-2588	(800) 926-2588
2. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Name	Idaho CareLine	Idaho CareLine	Idaho CareLine	Idaho CareLine	Idaho CareLine
3. Name of Contact Person for State MCH "Hotline"	Patricia Williams	Patricia Williams	Patricia Williams	Patricia Williams	Patricia Williams
4. Contact Person's Telephone Number	208 334-5551	208 334-5551	(208) 334-5551	(208) 334-5551	(208) 334-5551
5. Number of calls received on the State MCH "Hotline" this reporting period			9,500	32,417	49,268

FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM (OPTIONAL) [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(B)] STATE: ID

FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002	FY 2001
0	0	0	0	0

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main Field Name: calls 2

Field Name: calls_2
Row Name: Number of calls received On the State MCH Hotline This reporting period

Column Name: FY Year: 2003 Field Note:

In past years the total number of calls to the Idaho Careline was reported. Beginning 2003 we are reporting only those estimated to be relevant to MCH.

FORM 10 TITLE V MATERNAL & CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT STATE PROFILE FOR FY 2005 [Sec. 506(A)(1)] STATE: ID

1. State MCH Administration:

(max 2500 characters

The Bureau of Clinical and Preventive Services, Idaho Department of Health and Welfare, administers the Title V MCH Program. Three programs were added this past year - Women's Health Check, Health Programs Support and Worker Health and Safety. Women's Health Check is Idaho's Breast and Cevical Cancer Screening Program and was moved from the Bureau of Health Promotion. Worker Health and Safety is a program focused on reducing Department staff injuries moved from the Bureau of Environmental Health and Safety. Health Programs Support is a newly created unit with the Bureau that serves as an information technology and customer support role for the WIC and Immunization Reminder Information System databases, the new Idaho Health Alert Network and soon will be responsible for management of the Idaho National Electronic Disease Surveillance System. The Bureau is now composed of ten programs including the two mentioned above and Children's Special Health Program; Newborn Screening, Genetics; Reproductive Health Program; Immunization Program; and the STD/AIDS Program. Title V funds support staff and/or programs in the new combined Bureau's of Environmental Health and Safety and Health Promotion called Bureau of Community and Environmental Health. The MCH funded programs from that Bureau are Injury Prevention and Oral Health. MCH funds also support the Bureau of Vital Records and Health Statistics perinatal analyst to perform the annual Pregnancy Risk Assessment and Tracking System (PRATS) survey.

Block Grant Funds			
2. Federal Allocation (Line 1, Form 2)	\$	3,387,761	
3. Unobligated balance (Line 2, Form 2)	\$	0	
4. State Funds (Line 3, Form 2)	\$	1,000,000	
5. Local MCH Funds (Line 4, Form 2)	\$	1,540,821	
6. Other Funds (Line 5, Form 2)	\$	0	
7. Program Income (Line 6, Form 2)	\$	0	
8. Total Federal-State Partnership (Line 8, Form 2)	\$	5,928,582	
Most significant providers receiving MCH funds:		7 public health di	istricts and St Luke's Hospital
10. Individuals served by the Title V Program (Col. A, Form 7)	_		
10. Individuals served by the Title V Program (Col. A, Form 7) a. Pregnant Women		2,256	
•		2,256 22,000	
a. Pregnant Women		<u> </u>	

- 11. Statewide Initiatives and Partnerships:
- a. Direct Medical Care and Enabling Services:

(max 2500 characters)

e. Others

The Reproductive Health Program strives to reach hard to reach populations and works closely with the Migrant and Community Health Centers to assure access to care for Spanish speaking women and teens. This past year the program initiated a memorandum of understanding between the Division of Health and two community health centers under which they will assure compliance with Title X requirements and data reporting in exchange for the ability to purchase low cost contraceptives from Idaho's multi-state purchasing agreement. The CSHP Program has a positive working relationship with Salt Lake City's Primary Childrens Medical Center and Shriners hospitals in Salt Lake City and Spokane, Washington for referral of patients. The Genetics Services Program works closely with the Oregon Health Sciences University to provide Board Certified Genetics specialists to staff genetics clinics and counseling. The CSHP Program also contracts with the University of Washington to provide experts on metabolic disease for PKU and other nutrition counseling. The CSHP Program is actively collaborating with the Opening Doors Into Rural Communities Project (ODRC) at Utah State University, an effort to develop a model for building comprehensive, coordinated early intervention systems in rural areas.WIC will be partnering with the district health departments to provide training to the large number of pediatricians and family physicians on using body mass index to detect risk for children becoming overweight while also focusing on things like family meals, nutrition and exercise and breastfeeding. The target physicians will be health connection providers (those that see Medicaid patients) to ensure the lower income population at a minimum benefits from this effort. WIC will then partner with the immunization program for doing follow-up evaluation since the immunization quality assurance review team staff are in every physician's office that provides vaccines at least once per year.

30,895

b. Population-Based Services:

(max 2500 characters)

WIC/Immunization Linkage is a collaboration between the two programs on a statewide basis in which all WIC clients 0-24 months of age are screened for immunization status and those not up to date are referred to their health care provider. The Injury Prevention Program partners with the Idaho Transportation Department and the Bureau of Emergency Medical Services in a child safety seat, seat belt, and bicycle education campaigns. Child safety seats and bycycle helmets are distributed. The CSHP Program has an MOU in place with the Idaho Division of Vocational Rehabilitation to assist older CSHP patients in the transition to working life, this effort is part of a national Shriners Hospitals initiative to provide transition services to special needs populations.

c. Infrastructure Building Services:

(max 2500 characters)

The Reproductive Health Program continues to meet with the Division of Medicaid staff to develop a 1115 waiver to expand family planning eligibility for Medicaid. The current proposal is modeled after a plan submitted by Oregon to expand eligibiligy for only family planning to all women less than 150% of the federal poverty level. This expansion would greatly increase access to services and allow current program funds to be redirected into priority areas. This project was put on hold last year pending federal legislation that would have eliminated the need for a waiver. The legislation did not pass and the Division of Health and Medicaid staff will begin work once again on the state waiver. The Bureau of Community and Environmental Health partners with the district health departments to form community based coalitions addressing adolescent pregnancy prevention

with an abstinence message. The Immunize by Two Coalition works with the Immunization Program to provide parent and provider education and outreach services as well as initiation of community-based coalitions. 13. The children with special health care needs (CSHCN) contact person: 12. The primary Title V Program contact person: Russell Duke Brett Harrell Name Name Chief, Bureau of Clinical and Preventive Services Manager, Childrens Special Health Program Title Title Idaho Dept. Health and Welfare450 West State Street 4 Idaho Dept. Health and Welfare450 West State Street41 Address Address Boise Boise City City ID ID State State 83720 83720 Zip Zip Phone (208) 334-0670 (208) 334-5963 Phone (208) 332-7346 (208) 334-6581 Fax Fax duke@idhw.state.id.us harrellb@idhw.state.id.us Email Email Web Web

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

FORM 11 TRACKING PERFORMANCE MEASURES [Secs 485 (2)(2)(B)(III) AND 486 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: ID

P	ERFORMANCE	= M	İFASI	IRE	# N1

The percent of newborns who are screened and confirmed with condition(s) mandated by their State-sponsored newborn screening programs (e.g. phenylketonuria and hemoglobinopathies) who receive appropriate follow up as defined by their State.

		Annual C	bjective and Perfor	mance Data	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Performance Objective					100
Annual Indicator	98.2	98.2	99.3	97.3	95.0
Numerator	19,504	19,937	20,537	20,404	19
Denominator	19,862	20,302	20,686	20,965	20
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Annual Performance Objective	100	100	100	100	100
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only th not required for futu		bove years. Numera	or, Denominator and	Annual Indicators are

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 02

The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 years whose families partner in decision making at all levels and are satisfied with the services they receive. (CSHCN survey)

		Annual C	bjective and Perfor	mance Data	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Performance Objective					60
Annual Indicator				57.2	57.2
Numerator					
Denominator					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		Annual C	bjective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Annual Performance Objective	60	60	60	60	60

Annual Indicator

Numerator

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 03						
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	o receive coordinate	ed, ongoing, compreh	nensive care within a r	medical home. (CSHC	CN Survey)	
			Objective and Perfor			
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	
Annual Performance Objective						50
Annual Indicator				49.1		49.1
Numerator						
Denominator						
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final	
		<u>Annual</u>	Objective and Perfor	mance Data		
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	
Annual Performance Objective	52	52	52	52		52
Annual Indicator						
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future	ie Objectives for the	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicat	tors a
Denominator	not roquirou for fatt	aro your data.				
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04			or public insurance to	nay for the services the	nev need (CSI	HCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh			or public insurance to	pay for the services th	ney need. (CSF	HCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh		dequate private and/o	or public insurance to Objective and Perfor	. ,	ney need. (CSI	HCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)	ose families have ad	dequate private and/o Annual o	·	. ,	ney need. (CSH	HCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	ose families have ad	dequate private and/o Annual o	Objective and Perfor	mance Data		HCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)	ose families have ad	dequate private and/o Annual o	Objective and Perfor	mance Data		HCN 53.3
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective	ose families have ad	dequate private and/o	Objective and Perfor	rmance Data 2002		
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	ose families have ad	dequate private and/o	Objective and Perfor	rmance Data 2002		
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	ose families have ad	dequate private and/o	Objective and Perfor	rmance Data 2002		
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	ose families have ad	Annual (Objective and Perfor	7mance Data 2002 53.3 Final	2003	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	ose families have ad	Annual (Objective and Perfor	7mance Data 2002 53.3 Final	2003	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	ose families have ad	Annual	Objective and Perfor 2001 Objective and Perfor	53.3 Final	2003 Final	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2004 60	Annual dequate private and/or Annual deput and or Annual	Objective and Perfor 2001 Objective and Perfor 2006 60	Final Final 2007 60	2003 Final	53.3
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2004 Please fill in only the	Annual (2005 Annual (2005 60 Deliver Objectives for the content of the content	Objective and Perfor 2001 Objective and Perfor 2006	Final Final 2007 60	2003 Final	5

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 05							
Percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 whose Survey)	families report the co	ommunity-bas	ed service syste	ems are orgai	nized so they can	use them easi	ily. (CSHCI
		<u>A</u> 1	nnual Objective	e and Perfor	mance Data		
	1999	2000	2001		2002	2003	
Annual Performance Objective							77
Annual Indicator					75.	2	75.2
Numerator							
Denominator					•		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final	Final	
	2004	2005	nnual Objective 2006	e and Perfor	mance Data 2007	2008	
Annual Performance Objective		2005	80	80	2007		80
Annual Indicator						<u> </u>	
,							
Numerator Denominator	not required for futu	e Objectives f ire year data.	or the above ye	ars. Numerat	or, Denominator a	nd Annual Ind	licators are
Numerator Denominator	not required for futu	e Objectives f ire year data.	or the above ye	ars. Numerat	or, Denominator a	nd Annual Ind	licators are
Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	not required for futu	ıre year data.					licators are
Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	not required for futu	ire year data.	ransition to all as	spects of adu	It life. (CSHCN Su		licators are
Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	not required for future the services necess	ary to make tr	ransition to all as	spects of adu	It life. (CSHCN Sumance Data	rvey)	licators are
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received	not required for future the services necess	ary to make tr	ransition to all as	spects of adu	It life. (CSHCN Su		
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective	the services necess	ary to make tr	ransition to all as	spects of adu	It life. (CSHCN Sumance Data	rvey) 2003	6
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective	the services necess	ary to make tr	ransition to all as nnual Objective 2001	spects of adu	It life. (CSHCN Sumance Data	rvey) 2003	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective	not required for future the services necess	ary to make tr	ransition to all as nnual Objective 2001	spects of adu	It life. (CSHCN Sumance Data	rvey) 2003	6
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	the services necess	ary to make tr	ransition to all as nnual Objective 2001	spects of adu	It life. (CSHCN Sumance Data 2002 5.	2003 8	6
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	the services necess	ary to make tr	ransition to all as nnual Objective 2001	spects of adu	It life. (CSHCN Sumance Data	rvey) 2003	6
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	the services necess	ary to make tr	ransition to all as nnual Objective 2001	spects of adu	It life. (CSHCN Sumance Data 2002 5.	2003 8	6
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	the services necess	ary to make tr	ransition to all as nnual Objective 2001	spects of adu	It life. (CSHCN Sumance Data 2002 5.	2003 8	6
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	the services necess 1999	ary to make tr	ransition to all as nnual Objective	spects of adu	It life. (CSHCN Sumance Data 2002 5. Final mance Data 2007	2003 8 Final	6
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	the services necess 1999 2004	ary to make tr 2000	nnual Objective 2006 6	spects of adu e and Perfor	It life. (CSHCN Sumance Data 2002 5. Final mance Data 2007	rvey) 2003 8 Final 2008	6 5.8

ercent of 19 to 35 month olds who have received full schedule of ago aemophilus Influenza, and Hepatitis B.									
			Annual C	Objective a	nd Perfor		<u>ta</u>		
	1999	2000	70	2001	7.4	2002	70	2003	-
Annual Performance Objective			72		74		76		7
Annual Indicator	65		70		70.2		69.4		7
Numerator									
Denominator									
Is the Data Provisional or Final?						Final		Final	
			Annual C	Objective a	nd Perfor		<u>ta</u>		
						2007			
Annual Berfamona Objective	2004	2005	01	2006	92	2001	02	2008	c
Annual Performance Objective	80	2005	81	2006	82		83	2008	3
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	80 Please fill in only t	he Objecti	ves for the a						8 icators
Annual Indicator	Please fill in only to not required for fut	he Objecti	ves for the a						
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only to not required for fut	he Objecti	ves for the a						
Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only to not required for fut	he Objecti	ves for the a						
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	Please fill in only to not required for fut	he Objecti	ves for the a lata.		. Numerat	tor, Denom	inator and		
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	Please fill in only to not required for fut	he Objecti	ves for the a lata.	above years	. Numerat	tor, Denom	inator and		
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	Please fill in only to not required for fut	ne Objecti ure year d	ves for the a lata.	above years	. Numerat	tor, Denom	inator and	Annual Ind	icators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.	Please fill in only to not required for fut	ne Objecti ure year d	wes for the a ata.	above years	. Numerat	tor, Denom	inator and	Annual Ind	icators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only to not required for fut	ne Objecti ure year d	ves for the a lata. Annual C	above years	. Numerat	tor, Denom	inator and	Annual Ind	icators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only to not required for fut	ne Objecti ure year d	Annual C	above years	nd Perfor	tor, Denom	ta 17 18.4	Annual Ind	1 17 56
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	1999 26 25.2 794 31,557	ne Objecti ure year d	Annual C 25 21.0 669	above years	24.9 19.0	tor, Denom	ta 17 18.4 582	Annual Ind	1 17. 56 31,30
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999 26 25.2 794 31,557	ne Objecti ure year d	Annual C 25 21.0 669	above years	24.9 19.0	mance Da	ta 17 18.4 582	Annual Ind	1 17 56 31,30
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only to not required for fut. 1999 26 25.2 794 31,557	2000	Annual C 25 21.0 669 31,901	Objective an	24.9 19.0 604 31,718	mance Da 2002 Final	17 18.4 582 31,561	2003 Provision	1 17. 56 31,30
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999 26 25.2 794 31,557	ne Objecti ure year d	Annual C 25 21.0 669 31,901	Objective an	24.9 19.0 604 31,718	mance Da 2002	17 18.4 582 31,561	Annual Ind	1 17 56 31,30

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 09 Percent of third grade children who have received protective sealants	on at least one ner	manent mol	lar tooth					
Globil of third grade different who have received protective seasons	on at loads one po	manon mo.		Objective and Perfor	mance Da	nta		
	1999	2000	Aimac	2001	2002	itu	2003	
Annual Performance Objective	43		51	50		50.5		6
Annual Indicator	50.5		47.8	53.6		59.7		49.
Numerator	9,542		9,236	10,361		11,430		9,42
Denominator	18,895		19,323	19,332		19,147		18,89
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Final	
			Annual C	Objective and Perfor		<u>ıta</u>		
	2004	2005	64	2006	2007	60	2008	7
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator			64	66		68		7
Numerator	not required for fut	he Objective ure year da	es for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denom	ninator and	Annual In	dicators
Numerator Denominator	not required for fut	he Objective ure year da	es for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denom	ninator and	Annual In	dicators
Denominator	not required for fut	he Objective cure year da	es for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denon	ninator and	Annual In	dicators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	not required for fut	ure year da	ooo childre	en.			Annual In	dicators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	not required for fut	es per 100,	ooo childre	en. Objective and Perfor	rmance Da			dicators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by	not required for fut motor vehicle crash	es per 100,0	000 childre	en. Dbjective and Perfor 2001		nta	Annual In	dicators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective	mot required for fut motor vehicle crash 1999 7.5	es per 100,0	000 childre Annual C	en. Dijective and Perfor 2001 7.1	rmance Da	a ta		
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	motor vehicle crash	es per 100,0	000 childre Annual C 7.3 6.4	en. Dbjective and Perfore 2001 7.1 5.6	rmance Da	1 ta 5		6
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	motor vehicle crash	es per 100,0	000 childre Annual C 7.3 6.4 18	en. Display time and Perfor 2001 7.1 5.6 17	rmance Da	5.6 17		6.
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	motor vehicle crash 1999 7.5 9.4 25 266,004	es per 100,0	000 childre Annual C 7.3 6.4	en. Display time and Perfor 2001 7.1 5.6 17	mance Da 2002	1 ta 5	2003	6.
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	motor vehicle crash 1999 7.5 9.4 25 266,004	es per 100,0	000 childre Annual C 7.3 6.4 18	en. Display time and Perfor 2001 7.1 5.6 17	rmance Da	5.6 17		66 2 307,80
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	motor vehicle crash 1999 7.5 9.4 25 266,004	es per 100,0	7.3 6.4 18 283,307	en. Display time and Perfor 2001 7.1 5.6 17	rmance Da 2002 Final	5.6 17 305,614	2003	6. 2 307,80
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	motor vehicle crash 1999 7.5 9.4 25 266,004	es per 100,0	7.3 6.4 18 283,307	en. 2001 7.1 5.6 17 305,087	rmance Da 2002 Final	5.6 17 305,614	2003	66 2 307,80
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 ne rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for fut motor vehicle crash 1999 7.5 9.4 25 266,004	es per 100,0 2000	7.3 6.4 18 283,307	en. 2001 7.1 5.6 17 305,087 Objective and Performance a	Final	5.6 17 305,614	2003 Provisio	6. 2 307,80
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 ne rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	not required for fut motor vehicle crash 1999 7.5 9.4 25 266,004 2004 4.5	es per 100,0 2000 2005	000 childre Annual C 7.3 6.4 18 283,307 Annual C	en. 2001 7.1 5.6 17 305,087 Objective and Performance a	Final Tmance Da 2002	5 5.6 17 305,614	2003 Provisio	6. 2 307,80 onal

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11								
ercentage of mothers who breastfeed their infants at hospital dischar	rge.				_			
	1999	2000	Annual O	bjective and Perfor 2001	mance Da 2002	<u>ita</u>	2003	
Annual Performance Objective		2000	72.5	72.6	2002	72.7	2003	75
•							-	73.6
	72.4		73.4	74.6		74.3		
Numerator	12,843		13,183	13,483		13,666	-	13,96
Denominator	17,733		17,955	18,076		18,398		18,97
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Final	
			Annual O	bjective and Perfor	mance Da	nta		
	2004	2005	Zimidai C	2006	2007	<u>itu</u>	2008	
Annual Performance Objective	76		77	78		79		8
Ammuel Indicator			_					
Annual Indicator	Discoo fill in only th	Objective	a for the a	have voore Numeroi	tar Donom	sinator and	^ anual In	d:aatara
	Please fill in only th	ne Objectives	s for the a	bove years. Numerat	tor, Denom	ninator and	Annual In	dicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future	ne Objectives ure year data	s for the a a.	bove years. Numerat	tor, Denom	ninator and	Annual In	dicators
	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives ure year data	s for the a a.	bove years. Numerat	tor, Denom	ninator and	Annual In	dicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objectives ure year data	s for the a a.	bove years. Numerat	tor, Denom	ninator and	Annual In	dicators
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	not required for fut	ne Objectives ure year data	s for the a a.	bove years. Numeral	tor, Denom	ninator and	Annual In	dicators
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	not required for fut	ure year data	a.				Annual In	dicators
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	not required for futu hospital discharge.	ure yéar data	a.	bjective and Perfor	mance Da			dicators
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before	not required for futu hospital discharge.	ure year data	a. Annual O	bjective and Perfor 2001		nta	Annual In	
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective	not required for futu- hospital discharge.	ure year data	Annual O	objective and Perfor 2001 80	mance Da	ata 82.5		10
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 rcentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	not required for future hospital discharge. 1999 54 63.1	ure year data	Annual O 64 77.2	2001 80 91.4	mance Da	82.5 96.8		10
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	not required for future hospital discharge. 1999 54 63.1 11,709	2000	Annual O 64 77.2 14,646	2001 80 91.4 16,798	mance Da	82.5 96.8 18,275		10 97 19,53
REFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Increntage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for future hospital discharge. 1999 54 63.1 11,709 18,551	2000	Annual O 64 77.2	2001 80 91.4	mance Da 2002	82.5 96.8	2003	10 97 19,53
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Incentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	not required for future hospital discharge. 1999 54 63.1 11,709 18,551	2000	Annual O 64 77.2 14,646	2001 80 91.4 16,798	mance Da	82.5 96.8 18,275		10 97 19,53
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for future hospital discharge. 1999 54 63.1 11,709 18,551	2000	Annual O 64 77.2 14,646 18,964	9bjective and Perfor 2001 80 91.4 16,798 18,383	mance Da 2002 Final	82.5 96.8 18,275 18,886	2003	10 97 19,53
REFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Increntage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for future hospital discharge. 1999 54 63.1 11,709 18,551	2000	Annual O 64 77.2 14,646 18,964	2001 80 91.4 16,798	mance Da 2002 Final	82.5 96.8 18,275 18,886	2003	10 97 19,53
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for future hospital discharge. 1999 54 63.1 11,709 18,551	2000	Annual O 64 77.2 14,646 18,964	9bjective and Perfor 2001 80 91.4 16,798 18,383	Final	82.5 96.8 18,275 18,886	2003 Final	10 97. 19,53 20,06
Rumerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	not required for future hospital discharge. 1999 54 63.1 11,709 18,551	2000 2005	Annual O 64 77.2 14,646 18,964 Annual O	2001 80 91.4 16,798 18,383 2bjective and Perfor 2006	Final 2007	82.5 96.8 18,275 18,886	2003 Final	10 97. 19,53 20,06
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	not required for future hospital discharge. 1999 54 63.1 11,709 18,551	2000 2005	Annual O 64 77.2 14,646 18,964 Annual O	9bjective and Perfor 2001 80 91.4 16,798 18,383 9bjective and Perfor 2006	Final 2007	82.5 96.8 18,275 18,886	2003 Final	197 19,5 20,0

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 13					
ercent of children without health insurance.					
			al Objective and Perfo		
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Performance Objective		12	.3 12.2	12.1	1
Annual Indicator	12.4		13 13	13	1
Numerator					
Denominator					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Provisional
		_			
	2004	<u>Annı</u> 2005	al Objective and Perfo 2006	rmance Data 2007	2008
Annual Performance Objective			12 12		1
-					
Annual Indicator					
Annual Indicator Numerator	Diagon fill in only th	ne Objectives for t	he above years. Numera	ator, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ne Objectives for ture year data.	he above years. Numera	ator, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year data. Medicaid Program	,	rmance Data	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 rcent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a	Please fill in only the not required for futions required for futions required by the Inservice paid by the In	ure year data. Medicaid Program Annu 2000	al Objective and Perfo 2001	rmance Data 2002	2003
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	Please fill in only the not required for futions required for futions required by the Inservice paid by the In	ure year data. Medicaid Program Annu 2000	al Objective and Perfo	rmance Data	2003
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Increment of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second control of the	Please fill in only the not required for future paid by the Inc. 1999	ure year data. Medicaid Program Annu 2000	. al Objective and Perfo 2001 73 73.1 .2 87.1	rmance Data 2002	2003
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 recent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of the control of	Please fill in only the not required for fut. service paid by the the service paid by the	ure year data. Medicaid Program Annu 2000	. al Objective and Perfo 2001 73 73.1 .2 87.1	rmance Data 2002 73.2	2003
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 recent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of the control of	Please fill in only the not required for futions required for futions required for futions required for futions for futions required for futions for f	Medicaid Program Annu 2000 83	. al Objective and Perfo 2001 73 73.1 .2 87.1 94 113,555	rmance Data 2002 73.2 89.5	2003 94 142,38
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 recent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of the second of th	Please fill in only the not required for fute service paid by the laservice paid by the	Medicaid Program Annu 2000 83	. al Objective and Perfo 2001 73 73.1 .2 87.1 94 113,555	rmance Data 2002 73.2 89.5 127,524	2003 9 94. 142,39
REFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Procent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of the potential of the	Please fill in only the not required for fute service paid by the laservice paid by the	Medicaid Program Annu 2000 83 88,9 106,9		73.2 89.5 127,524 142,425 Final	2003 94. 142,39 151,01
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 recent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of the potential of the po	Please fill in only the not required for futions re	Medicaid Program Annu 2000 83 88,9 106,9	. al Objective and Perfo 2001 73 73.1 .2 87.1 94 113,555 109 130,313	73.2 2002 73.2 89.5 127,524 142,425 Final	2003 94. 142,39 151,01
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 recent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of the second o	Please fill in only the not required for fution for required for futions are required for fution	Medicaid Program Annu 2000 83 88,9 106,9	. al Objective and Perfo 2001 73 73.1 .2 87.1 .94 113,555 .09 130,313 al Objective and Perfo 2006	73.2 89.5 127,524 142,425 Final	2003 94. 142,39 151,01
Rumerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potential second of pot	Please fill in only the not required for fute not required for fute service paid by the 1 1999	wedicaid Program Annu 2000 83 88,9 106,9 Annu 2005	10 A 1 13,555 10 130,313 10 14 10 15 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	rmance Data 2002 73.2 89.5 127,524 142,425 Final rmance Data 2007 96.5	2003 94. 142,39 151,01 Final
Rumerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potential Performance Objective Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fute not required for fute service paid by the 1 1999	Medicaid Program Annu 2000 83 88,9 106,9 Annu 2005	. al Objective and Perfo 2001 73 73.1 .2 87.1 .94 113,555 .09 130,313 al Objective and Perfo 2006	rmance Data 2002 73.2 89.5 127,524 142,425 Final rmance Data 2007 96.5	2003 94 142,33 151,07 Final

ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 15								
he percent of very low birth weight infants among all live births.								
	1999	2000	Annual O	bjective and Perfor 2001	mance Da	<u>ata</u>	2002	
A Denfarmance Objection		2000	1	2001	2002	1	2003	0.
Annual Performance Objective						1.0		
	1.1		1.1	1.0		1.0		0
Numerator	212		220	200		201		20
Denominator	19,849		20,294	20,686		20,954		21,20
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Provision	nal
			Annual O	bjective and Perfor	mance Da	ata		
	2004	2005	Annuaro	2006	2007	<u>atu</u>	2008	
Annual Performance Objective	0.7		0.6	0.5		0.4		(
Annual Indicator								
	Disease fill in early the	a Objective	e for the a	hove years Numerat	tor Denon	ninator and	Annual Indi	icators
	Disease fill in early the	ne Objectives	s for the a	bove years. Numerat	tor, Denon	ninator and	Annual Indi	icators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fution	ne Objectives ure year data	s for the a	bove years. Numerat	tor, Denon	ninator and	Annual Indi	icators
	Please fill in only the not required for fution	ne Objectives ure year data	s for the a	bove years. Numerat	tor, Denon	ninator and	Annual Indi	icators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fution	ne Objectives ure year data	s for the a	bove years. Numerat	tor, Denon	ninator and	Annual Indi	icators
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objectives ure year data	s for the a	bove years. Numeral	tor, Denon	ninator and	Annual Indi	icators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objectives ure year data	es for the a	bove years. Numerat	tor, Denon	ninator and	Annual Indi	icators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	ure yéar data	a.	bjective and Perfor	mance Da			icators
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	ure year data	a.	bjective and Perfor 2001			Annual Indi	icators
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	ure yéar data	a.	bjective and Perfor	mance Da			
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the company of the company	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	ure yéar data	a. Annual O	bjective and Perfor 2001	mance Da	ata		
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the company of the c	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	ure yéar data	Annual O	objective and Perfor 2001 16.7	mance Da	ata 20		14
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	2000	Annual O	2001 16.7 21.3	mance Da	20 13.7	2003	14
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	2000	Annual O 16.8 18.9 21	2001 16.7 21.3	mance Da	20 13.7 15	2003	14
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the company of the c	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	2000	Annual O 16.8 18.9 21 110,858	2001 16.7 21.3 24 112,936	mance Da 2002 Final	20 13.7 15 109,671	2003	14
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the age of the company of the compa	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	2000	Annual O 16.8 18.9 21 110,858	2001 16.7 21.3 24 112,936	mance Da 2002 Final	20 13.7 15 109,671	2003 Provision	14
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 th	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	2000	Annual O 16.8 18.9 21 110,858	2001 16.7 21.3 24 112,936 206	mance Da 2002 Final	20 13.7 15 109,671	2003	14
Reformance Measure # 16 The rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	2000	Annual O 16.8 18.9 21 110,858	2001 16.7 21.3 24 112,936	mance Da 2002 Final	20 13.7 15 109,671	2003 Provision	14
Rumerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 The rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide death	Please fill in only the not required for futured fill in only the notice of futured fill in only the notice fill i	2000 1	Annual O 16.8 18.9 21 110,858 Annual O	2001 16.7 21.3 24 112,936 206	Final mance Da 2002	20 13.7 15 109,671	2003 Provision	14 107,0
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 thr	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	2000 1	Annual O 16.8 18.9 21 110,858 Annual O	2001 16.7 21.3 24 112,936 2bjective and Perfor 2006 11	Final mance Da 2002	20 13.7 15 109,671	2003 Provision	1 107,0

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 17								
Percent of very low birth weight infants delivered at facilities for high-ri	isk deliveries and ne	eonates.						
	1000	-	Annual C	bjective and Perfor		<u>ta</u>	2222	
Annual Parformance Objective	1999 58.5	2000	58.5	2001 58.6	2002	65	2003	66
Annual Performance Objective								
Annual Indicator			64.5	59.5		65.7		72.8
Numerator			142	119		132		14:
Denominator	212		220	200		201		19
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Provision	al
			Annual C	bjective and Perfor	manaa Da	10		
	2004	2005	Alliuai C	2006	2007	<u>ıa</u>	2008	
Annual Performance Objective	75		75	75		75		7
Annual Indicator								
Ailliaai Illaicatoi								
Nomenton	Diagon fill in only th	ne Objectives	s for the a	bove years. Numerat	tor, Denomi	inator and	Annual Indi	cators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future	ne Objectives ure year data	s for the a	bove years. Numerat	tor, Denom	inator and	Annual Indi	cators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future	ne Objectives ure year data	s for the a	bove years. Numerat	tor, Denom	inator and	Annual Indi	cators
Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future	ne Objectives ure year data	s for the a	bove years. Numerat	tor, Denom	inator and	Annual Indi	cators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ure year data	s for the a	bove years. Numerat	tor, Denomi	inator and	Annual Indi	cators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ure year data	a.	bove years. Numerat			Annual Indi	cators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18	Please fill in only the not required for futured for futured for futured for futured first trial first	ure year data	Annual C				Annual Indi	cators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18	Please fill in only the not required for futured for futured for futured for futured first triangle first triangle for futured for first triangle first from the first triangle for future for future first triangle for future first triangle for future for future for future first triangle for future	ure year data	a.	Objective and Perfor	mance Dat			
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ercent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be-	Please fill in only the not required for future ginning in the first trice. 1999 81.2	ure year data	Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2001	mance Dat	<u>ta</u>		8
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ercent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be	Please fill in only the not required for future ginning in the first triangle state of the state	imester.	Annual C	Objective and Perform 2001	mance Dat	ta 80.8		82
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ercent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of the	Please fill in only the not required for future ginning in the first triangle state of the state	imester.	Annual C 80.6 80.9	2001 80.7 81.9	mance Dat	80.8 82.1		82 17,61
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ercent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of the	Please fill in only the not required for future ginning in the first trice. 1999 81.2 80.5 15,736 19,547	imester.	Annual C 80.6 80.9 15,983	2001 80.7 81.9	mance Dat	80.8 82.1 16,710		8 82. 17,61 21,41
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ercent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and infants born to predname and infants	Please fill in only the not required for future ginning in the first trice. 1999 81.2 80.5 15,736 19,547	imester.	Annual C 80.6 80.9 15,983	2001 80.7 81.9	mance Date 2002	80.8 82.1 16,710	2003	8 82. 17,61 21,41
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ercent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and infants born to predname and infants	Please fill in only the not required for future for future for future for future first triangles for future fo	imester.	80.6 80.9 15,983 19,761	80.7 80.7 81.9 15,807 19,309	mance Date 2002 Final	80.8 82.1 16,710 20,362	2003 Provisiona	8 82. 17,61 21,41
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ercent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be- Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for future for future for future for future fill fill fill fill fill fill fill fil	imester.	80.6 80.9 15,983 19,761	2001 80.7 81.9 15,807 19,309 206	mance Date 2002 Final	80.8 82.1 16,710 20,362	2003	82 17,61 21,41
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and present an	Please fill in only the not required for future for future for future for future fill fill fill fill fill fill fill fil	imester.	80.6 80.9 15,983 19,761	80.7 80.7 81.9 15,807 19,309	mance Date 2002 Final	80.8 82.1 16,710 20,362	2003 Provisiona	82 17,61 21,41
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ercent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future for future for future for future fill fill fill fill fill fill fill fil	imester. 2000 2005	80.6 80.9 15,983 19,761	2001 80.7 81.9 15,807 19,309 206	mance Date Final mance Date 2007	80.8 82.1 16,710 20,362	2003 Provisiona 2008	82 17,61 21,41

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 1					
Proportion of all pregnancies seen in Reproductive Health Clinics that	are unintended				
			Il Objective and Perfor		
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Performance Objective		70		69.8	-
Annual Indicator		61.8	60.3	60.5	66
Numerator	2,648	1,92	1 2,122	2,208	1,5
Denominator	3,780	3,109	3,519	3,652	2,2
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
	2224		al Objective and Perfor		2000
A Desfermence Objective	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Annual Performance Objective		5	55	55	
Annual Indicator	Diagona fill in anhy th	ne Obiectives for th	e above vears. Numera	tor. Denominator and	d Annual Indicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objectives for th ure year data.	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	d Annual Indicators
	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objectives for th ure year data.	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	d Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objectives for th ure year data.	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	d Annual Indicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ure year data.	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	d Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ure year data.	e above years. Numera		d Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ure year data.			d Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	ure year data. nan 20 years old Annu a	al Objective and Perfor 2001	mance Data	
Numerator Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Percent of positive pregnancy tests in Reproductive Health program progr	Please fill in only the not required for future articipants of less the 1999	ure year data. nan 20 years old Annua 2000	al Objective and Perfor 2001 3 11.7	rmance Data 2002	2003
Numerator Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Percent of positive pregnancy tests in Reproductive Health program positive Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	ure year data. nan 20 years old Annua 2000	al Objective and Perfor 2001 3 11.7 9 9.0	mance Data 2002 11.6	2003
Numerator Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Percent of positive pregnancy tests in Reproductive Health program positive Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future articipants of less the second	ure year data. nan 20 years old Annua 2000 11.6 8.9	al Objective and Perfor 2001 3 11.7 9 9.0 3 1,037	mance Data 2002 11.6 8.8	2003
Numerator Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Percent of positive pregnancy tests in Reproductive Health program positive Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future articipants of less the second	ure year data. nan 20 years old Annua 2000 11.6 8.9	al Objective and Perfor 2001 3 11.7 9 9.0 3 1,037	mance Data 2002 11.6 8.8 1,052	2003
Numerator Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Percent of positive pregnancy tests in Reproductive Health program positive pregnancy tests in Reproductive Health program positive Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future articipants of less the second	ure year data. nan 20 years old Annua 2000 11.6 8.9	al Objective and Perfor 2001 3 11.7 9 9.0 3 1,037	mance Data 2002 11.6 8.8 1,052 11,903	2003 5 6 10,7
Numerator Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Percent of positive pregnancy tests in Reproductive Health program positive pregnancy tests in Reproductive Health program positive Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future articipants of less the second	ure year data. nan 20 years old Annua 2000 11.6 983	al Objective and Perfor 2001 3 11.7 9 9.0 3 1,037	11.6 8.8 1,052 11,903 Final	2003 5 6 10,7
Numerator Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Percent of positive pregnancy tests in Reproductive Health program positive pregnancy tests in Reproductive Health program positive Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future articipants of less the second	ure year data. nan 20 years old Annua 2000 11.6 983	al Objective and Perfor 2001 3 11.7 9 9.0 3 1,037 1 11,508	11.6 8.8 1,052 11,903 Final	2003 5 6 10,7
Numerator Denominator STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Percent of positive pregnancy tests in Reproductive Health program positive pregnancy tests in Reproductive Health program positive Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future that required for future that the not required for	ure year data. nan 20 years old Annua 2000 11.8 983 11,08	1 Objective and Perfor 2001 3 11.7 9 9.0 3 1,037 1 11,508	11.6 8.8 1,052 11,903 Final	2003 6 10,7 Final
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Percent of positive pregnancy tests in Reproductive Health program percent of positive pregnancy tests in Reproductive Health program percent of positive pregnancy tests in Reproductive Health program percent of positive Annual Indicator Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future articipants of less the series of less th	2000 Annua 2000 Annua 2000 Annua 2000 Annua 2005 Annua	1 Objective and Perfor 2001 3 11.7 9 9.0 3 1,037 1 11,508 1 Objective and Perfor 2006 5 4.5	11.6 2002 11.6 8.8 1,052 11,903 Final 2007	2003 6 10,7 Final 2008
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Percent of positive pregnancy tests in Reproductive Health program percent of positive pregnancy tests in Reproductive Health program percent of positive pregnancy tests in Reproductive Health program percent of positive Annual Indicator Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future of the not req	2000 Annua 2000 Annua 2000 Annua 2000 Annua 2005 Annua	1 Objective and Perfor 2001 3 11.7 9 9.0 3 1,037 1 11,508	11.6 2002 11.6 8.8 1,052 11,903 Final 2007	2003 6 10,7 Final 2008

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 3					d dovolopp	antal cond		
Ise of the Idaho CareLine as a clearinghouse (information/referral ser	VICE) of information) for non-m			•		ces	
	1999	2000	Annuar	Objective and Perfo 2001	2002	<u>ita</u>	2003	
Annual Performance Objective	1,350		6,200	8,000		8,500		8,500
	6,077		13,719			_		
Numerator		· ·	<u></u>	8,514		8,254		9,500
Denominator				1		1		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Final	
			Annual C	Objective and Perfo	rmance Da	<u>ıta</u>		
	2004	2005		2006	2007		2008	
Annual Performance Objective	10,000		11,000	11,500		12,000		12,50
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4	F- am							
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 Percent of child deaths reviewed by the Idaho Child Mortality Review	Геат		Annual C	Objective and Perfo	rmance Da	nta		
	Team 1999	2000	Annual C	Dbjective and Perfo	rmance Da 2002	nta	2003	
	1999		Annual C	<u>-</u>		ata 100	2003	10
Percent of child deaths reviewed by the Idaho Child Mortality Review	1999 92			2001			2003	10 Nal
Percent of child deaths reviewed by the Idaho Child Mortality Review Annual Performance Objective	1999 92 100.0		100	2001		100	2003	
Percent of child deaths reviewed by the Idaho Child Mortality Review Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	1999 92 100.0 224		100 41.1	2001 100 50.2		100 NaN	2003	Nal
Percent of child deaths reviewed by the Idaho Child Mortality Review Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	1999 92 100.0 224 224		100 41.1 81	2001 100 50.2 102		100 NaN 0	2003	Na
Percent of child deaths reviewed by the Idaho Child Mortality Review Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999 92 100.0 224 224		100 41.1 81 197	2001 100 50.2 102 203	2002 Provisio	NaN 0 0		Nal
Percent of child deaths reviewed by the Idaho Child Mortality Review Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999 92 100.0 224 224		100 41.1 81 197	2001 100 50.2 102 203 Dbjective and Perfo	Provisio	NaN 0 0	Provisio	Na
Percent of child deaths reviewed by the Idaho Child Mortality Review Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1999 92 100.0 224 224		100 41.1 81 197	2001 100 50.2 102 203	2002 Provisio	NaN 0 0		Na
Percent of child deaths reviewed by the Idaho Child Mortality Review Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999 92 100.0 224 224 2004 100		100 41.1 81 197	2001 100 50.2 102 203 Objective and Perforance	Provisio	NaN 0 0	Provisio	Na

TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 5										
oses of hepatitis A vaccine administered to children at kindergarten	entry									
				Annual C	Objective a	nd Perfor	mance Da	<u>ıta</u>		
	1999		2000		2001		2002		2003	
Annual Performance Objective		1,850		3,000		10,000		15,000		23,00
Annual Indicator		N/A		4,185		12,697.0		17,944.0		16,971.
Numerator						12,697		17,944		16,97
Denominator						1		1		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?							Final		Final	
				Annual C	-	nd Perfor		<u>ıta</u>		
	2004	0= 000	2005		2006		2007		2008	0= 0
Annual Performance Objective		25,000		27,000		29,000		32,000		35,0
Annual Indicator			.				_			
	Diagon	fill in only th	e Objectiv	ves for the a	bove year	s. Numerat	or, Denom	ninator and	Annual I	ndicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please f	fill in only th uired for futu	ne Objectiv ure year d	ves for the a ata.	ibove year	s. Numerat	or, Denom	ninator and	Annual I	ndicators
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6	Please f not requ	uired for futu	ure year d	ata.				ninator and	Annual I	ndicators
Numerator Denominator TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6	Please f not requ	uired for futu	ure year d	ata. g or filled tea	eth due to	tooth deca	у)		Annual I	ndicators
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6	Please f not requ	uired for futu	ure year d	ata. g or filled tea	eth due to		y) mance Da			ndicators
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 cent of children age 5 years who are caries-free in their primary te	Please f not require eth (have	uired for futu	ure year d	ata. g or filled ted Annual C	eth due to	tooth deca	у)	nta	Annual I	
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 cent of children age 5 years who are caries-free in their primary te	eth (have	uired for futu	ure year d	ata. g or filled tea	eth due to	tooth deca	y) mance Da	ata 37		
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 cent of children age 5 years who are caries-free in their primary te Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please f not require eth (have	e no decaye	ed, missing	g or filled tee Annual C	eth due to	tooth deca	y) mance Da	37 53.6		34
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 cent of children age 5 years who are caries-free in their primary te Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please f not requ	e no decaye	ure year d	g or filled ted Annual C	eth due to	tooth deca	y) mance Da	37 53.6 9,995		34
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 recent of children age 5 years who are caries-free in their primary te Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please f not requ	e no decaye	ure year d	g or filled ted Annual C	eth due to	tooth deca	y) mance Da 2002	37 53.6	2003	34 6,36
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 In the primary teres of children age 5 years who are caries-free in their primary teres of children age 5 years who are caries-free in their primary teres of children age 5 years who are caries-free in their primary teres of caries free in the c	Please f not requ	e no decaye	ure year d	g or filled ted Annual C	eth due to	tooth deca	y) mance Da	37 53.6 9,995		34 6,30
Numerator Denominator TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 Increment of children age 5 years who are caries-free in their primary te Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please f not requ	e no decaye	ure year d	g or filled tec Annual C	eth due to Dispective a 2001	tooth deca	y) mance Da 2002 Final	37 53.6 9,995 18,647	2003	34 6,36 18,62
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 Identify the count of children age 5 years who are caries-free in their primary te Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please f not requ	e no decaye	ure year d	g or filled tec Annual C	eth due to Dispective a 2001	nnd Perfor	y) mance Da 2002 Final	37 53.6 9,995 18,647	2003	34 6,30
Numerator Denominator TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 recent of children age 5 years who are caries-free in their primary te Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please f not require the (have	e no decaye	ure year d	g or filled tec Annual C	eth due to Dijective a 2001	nnd Perfor	y) mance Da 2002 Final	37 53.6 9,995 18,647	2003 Final	34 6,36
Numerator Denominator TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 ercent of children age 5 years who are caries-free in their primary te Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please f not require the (have	e no decaye N/A	2000	g or filled tec Annual C N/A Annual C	eth due to Dipicative a 2001 Dipicative a 2006	nd Perfor N/A and Perfor	rinal mance Da 2007	37 53.6 9,995 18,647	2003 Final	34 6,36 18,62
Numerator Denominator TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 Incrent of children age 5 years who are caries-free in their primary te Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	eth (have	e no decaye N/A	2000	g or filled tec Annual C N/A Annual C	eth due to Dipicative a 2001 Dipicative a 2006	nd Perfor N/A and Perfor	rinal mance Da 2007	37 53.6 9,995 18,647	2003 Final	3 ² 6,3 18,6

ercent of investigations completed on children with elevated blood le	ad levels				
		Δnnua	I Objective and Perfor	rmance Data	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Performance Objective	98.8	100	100	100	10
Annual Indicator	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.
	60	38	34	18	2
Denominator		38	34	18	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Annua	l Objective and Perfor	rmance Data	
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Annual Performance Objective	100	100	100	100	10
Annual Indicator					
Allitual illulcator					
	Please fill in only th		e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut-		e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
	Please fill in only the not required for fut-		e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	I Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut-		e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	I Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8	Please fill in only the not required for fut-		e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	I Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8	Please fill in only the not required for fut-	ure year data.			I Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year data. Annua	I Objective and Perfor	rmance Data	
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 Indeed to SIDS that are autopsied	Please fill in only the not required for fution for futions and the second seco	ure year data. Annua 2000	I Objective and Perfor 2001	rmance Data 2002	2003
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 cent of deaths attributed to SIDS that are autopsied Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for fut. 1999 86	ure year data. Annua 2000	I Objective and Perfor	rmance Data 2002	2003
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 cent of deaths attributed to SIDS that are autopsied	Please fill in only the not required for fut. 1999 86 94.7	Annua 2000 95.0	Objective and Perfor 2001 100.0	7mance Data 2002 100 100.0	2003
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 cent of deaths attributed to SIDS that are autopsied Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut. 1999 86	ure year data. Annua 2000	Objective and Perfor 2001 100.0	rmance Data 2002	2003 100
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 Freent of deaths attributed to SIDS that are autopsied Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut. 1999 86 94.7 18	Annua 2000 95.0	Objective and Perfor 2001 100 100.0 15	7mance Data 2002 100 100.0	2003 10 100
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 recent of deaths attributed to SIDS that are autopsied Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut. 1999 86 94.7 18 19	Annua 2000 95.0	Objective and Perfor 2001 100 100.0 15	rmance Data 2002 100 100.0 13	
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 recent of deaths attributed to SIDS that are autopsied Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut. 1999 86 94.7 18 19	Annua 2000 95.0 15	1 Objective and Perfor 2001 5 100 1 100.0 1 15	100 100.0 13 Final	2003 100 100
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 In cent of deaths attributed to SIDS that are autopsied Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut. 1999 86 94.7 18 19	Annua 2000 95.0 15	Objective and Perfor 2001	100 100.0 13 Final	2003 10 100 1 Provisional
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 cent of deaths attributed to SIDS that are autopsied Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1999 86 94.7 18	Annua 2000 95.0 2005	Objective and Perform 2001 100.0 15 15	100 100.0 13 13 Final	2003 100 100
Numerator Denominator TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 Percent of deaths attributed to SIDS that are autopsied Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1999 86 94.7 18 19 2004	Annua 2000 95.0 15	Objective and Perform 2001 100.0 15 15	100 100.0 13 Final	2003 1 100 Provisional
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 recent of deaths attributed to SIDS that are autopsied Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	1999 86 94.7 18 19 2004	Annua 2000 95.0 15 20 Annua 2005	Objective and Perform 2001 100.0 15 15	rmance Data 2002 100 100.0 13 13 Final rmance Data 2007 100	2003 1
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 cent of deaths attributed to SIDS that are autopsied Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for fut. 1999 86 94.7 18 19 2004 100 Please fill in only the not required for fut.	Annua 2000 95.0 15 2005 Annua 2005	Objective and Perfor 2001	rmance Data 2002 100 100.0 13 13 Final rmance Data 2007 100	2003 10 Provisional 2008

		Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Performance Objective	40	45	47.5	50	5
Annual Indicator	42.9	69.3	41.2	38.6	41.
Numerator	3,735	13,866	11,940	11,197	11,88
Denominator	8,701	20,000	29,000	29,000	29,00
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
		50	50	50	5

Data for 2003 is for calendar year 2003 and includes children 0 through 19 on regular medicaid and SCHIP. Numerator is the number of Medicaid and SCHIP enrolled children that receive a Medicaid paid service. Denominator is the number of total enrolled children in Medicaid and SCHIP.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Performance Measure #1

Field Name: PM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

In 2003 one child had a mild form of a condition and needed no treatment and one child the date of treatment initiation is unknown.

2. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

3. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

7. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

The percentage comes for the National Immunization Survey. No numbers are given as to how many were surveyed or how many are completely immunized.

Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Data is from the Current Population Survey by the US Census Bureau for the years 2000-2001

9. Section Number: Performance Measure #14

Field Name: PM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Data not available for 2003.

10. Section Number: Performance Measure #17

Field Name: PM17 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Data for very low birth babies born at high-risk facilities are based on Idaho resident births in Idaho. Idaho does not receive hospital name for out of state births, therefore designation of high-risk facilities for babies born out of state is not available. Numerator is based on births to Idaho residents in Idaho and denominator is based on Idaho resident births.

11. Section Number: State Performance Measure #4

Field Name: SM4 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

The Idaho Child Mortality Review Team has been disbanded. A summary of their 5 years of work will be developed as a final document produced by the team. Essentially

the first few years of reviews idenfitied areas for improvement and as such recommendations were made. In the most recent year or two, the team believed they were basically reviewing similar types of deaths and that recommendations were just being repeated.

The manner in which the data was being reported in 1999 compared to 2000 and 2001 is the reason the rate of review had declined. All the deaths are reviewed by a subcommittee of the team, but only those that are believed to have been preventable are reviewed in detail by the entire team. These preventable deaths, such as homicide, suicide, and SIDS, were the only ones reported as reviewed in 2000 and 2001. The objective is still correct in the sense that 100% of all those eligible for review were in fact reviewed.

This measure will be replaced next year following completion of the 5 year needs assessment.

12. Section Number: State Performance Measure #9

Field Name: SM9 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

The denominator is an estimate by the Urban Institute.

FORM 12 TRACKING HEALTH OUTCOME MEASURES [SECS 505 (A)(2)(B)(III) AND 506 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: ID

OUTCOME MEASURE # 01					
The infant mortality rate per 1,000 live births.					
			Objective and Perfo		
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Performance Objective	7.18	7.1	7	7	
Annual Indicator	6.7	7.5			
Numerator	134	152			
Denominator	19,870	20,305			
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					
			Objective and Perfo		
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Annual Performance Objective		7	-		
Annual Indicator	Place fill in only th	he Objectives for the	above vears. Numer	ator, Denominator an	d Annual Indicators
Numerator	not required for fut	ure year data.		,	
Denominator					
OUTCOME MEASURE # 02			Objective and Perfo	ormance Data	
OUTCOME MEASURE # 02			Objective and Perfo	ormance Data 2002	2003
UTCOME MEASURE # 02	rate.	Annual	=	2002	
UTCOME MEASURE # 02 ne ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective	1999 0	Annual 2000	2001	2002	
PUTCOME MEASURE # 02 The ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	1999 0 6.0	Annual 2000 0 0.0	2001	2002	
PUTCOME MEASURE # 02 the ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	0 6.0	Annual 2000 0 0.0	2001	2002	
DUTCOME MEASURE # 02 he ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	0 6.0 40 6.7	Annual 2000 0 0.0	2001	2002	
PUTCOME MEASURE # 02 the ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	0 6.0 40 6.7	Annual 2000 0 0.0	2001	2002	
DUTCOME MEASURE # 02 The ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	0 6.0 40 6.7	Annual 2000 0.0 0.0 7.5	0	0	
PUTCOME MEASURE # 02 the ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	0 6.0 40 6.7	Annual 2000 0.0 0.0 7.5	2001	0	
DUTCOME MEASURE # 02 The ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	0 6.0 40 6.7	Annual 2000 0 0.0 0 7.5	2001 Objective and Perfo	2002 0	
DUTCOME MEASURE # 02 he ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	0 6.0 40 6.7	Annual 2000 0.0 0.0 7.5 Annual 2005 0	Objective and Perfo	2002 0 Drmance Data 2007	2008
DUTCOME MEASURE # 02 he ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1999 0 6.0 40 6.7 2004	Annual 2000 0 0.0 0 7.5 Annual 2005 0 he Objectives for the	Objective and Perfo	2002 0	2008

OUTCOME MEASURE # 03					
he neonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.					
			Objective and Perfor		
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Performance Objective			4.5		4.5
Annual Indicator	4.6				
Numerator	92	109			
Denominator	19,870	20,305			
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					
	2004		Objective and Perfor		2000
Annual Derfermence Objective	2004 4.5	2005 4.5	2006	2007	2008
Annual Performance Objective		4.5			
Annual Indicator					
Numaratar	Please fill in only th	ne Objectives for the	above years. Numera	tor, Denomina	tor and Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denomina	tor and Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denomina	tor and Annual Indicators
Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denomina	tor and Annual Indicators
Denominator OUTCOME MEASURE # 04	Please fill in only the not required for futured for fill for fill for fill fill fill fill fill fill fill fil	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denomina	tor and Annual Indicators
Denominator OUTCOME MEASURE # 04		Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
UTCOME MEASURE # 04 ne postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.	1999	Annual (Objective and Perfor		2003
Denominator UTCOME MEASURE # 04 ne postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective	1999 2.7	Annual (2000 2.6	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
Denominator PUTCOME MEASURE # 04 the postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.	1999 2.7	Annual (2000 2.6	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	2003
Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 he postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	1999 2.7	Annual (2000 2.6	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	2003
Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 he postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	1999 2.7 2.1	2000 2.6 2.1 43	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	2003
Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 he postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2.7 2.1 42 19,870	2000 2.6 2.1 43	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	2003
Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 he postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2.7 2.1 42 19,870	2000 2.6 2.1 43	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	2003
Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 he postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2.7 2.1 42 19,870	2000 2.6 2.1 43 20,305	Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2002	2003
UTCOME MEASURE # 04 ne postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2.7 2.1 42 19,870	2000 2.6 2.1 43 20,305	Objective and Perfor 2001 2.5	mance Data 2002	2003
Denominator PUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999 2.7 2.1 42 19,870	2000 2.6 2.1 43 20,305	Objective and Perfor 2001 2.5	mance Data 2002	2003 2.5 2
DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	1999 2.7 2.1 42 19,870 2004	2000 2.6 2.1 43 20,305 Annual (2005)	Objective and Perform 2.5 Objective and Perform 2.006	mance Data 2002 mance Data 2007	2003 2.5 2

The perinatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births plus fetal deaths.								
			Annual C	Objective and Pe	formance D	<u>ata</u>		
	1999	2000		2001	2002		2003	
Annual Performance Objective	9.9		9.8	9	7	9.6		9.5
Annual Indicator	9.7		10.4					
Numerator	192		211		_			
Denominator			20,304					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?								
			Annual C	Objective and Pe		<u>ata</u>		
	2004	2005		2006	2007		2008	
Annual Performance Objective			9.3					
Annual Indicator	Diamentill in automate	Obi4i		NI	D			
	Diamentill in automate	ne Objectiv	es for the a	bove years. Num	erator, Deno	minator and	Annual Indi	cators
	Please fill in only the not required for fut-	ne Objectiv ure year da	es for the a	bove years. Num	erator, Deno	minator and	l Annual Indi	cators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut-	ne Objectiv ure year da	ves for the a	bove years. Num	erator, Deno	minator and	Annual Indi	cators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut-	ne Objectiv ure year da	ves for the a	above years. Num	erator, Deno	minator and	Annual Indi	cators
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06	Please fill in only the not required for fut-	ne Objectiv ure year da	ves for the a	bove years. Num	erator, Deno	minator and	Annual Indi	cators
Numerator Denominator OUTCOME MEASURE # 06	Please fill in only the not required for fut-	ne Objectiv ure year da	ata.	bove years. Num			l Annual Indi	cators
Numerator Denominator PUTCOME MEASURE # 06	Please fill in only the not required for fut-	ne Objectiv ure year da	ata.				Annual Indie	cators
Numerator Denominator PUTCOME MEASURE # 06	Please fill in only the not required for fution of the second sec	ure year da	ata.	Objective and Pe	formance D 2002		2003	
Numerator Denominator PUTCOME MEASURE # 06 he child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.	Please fill in only the not required for fut. 1999 28.4	ure year da	Annual C	Objective and Pe	formance D 2002	ata	2003	
Numerator Denominator PUTCOME MEASURE # 06 he child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut. 1999 28.4	2000	Annual C	Objective and Pe	formance D 2002	ata	2003	
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut. 1999 28.4 32.3	2000	Annual C 28.3 22.2	Objective and Pe	formance D 2002	ata	2003	
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	1999 28.4 32.3 86 266,004	2000	Annual C 28.3 22.2 63	Objective and Pe	formance D 2002	ata	2003	28
Numerator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999 28.4 32.3 86 266,004	2000	Annual C 28.3 22.2 63	Objective and Pe	formance D 2002	ata	2003	
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 the child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999 28.4 32.3 86 266,004	2000	28.3 22.2 63 283,307	Objective and Pe 2001 28 Objective and Pe	formance D 2002 2	28.1	2003	
Numerator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 he child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1999 28.4 32.3 86 266,004	2000	28.3 22.2 63 283,307	Objective and Pe 2001 28	formance D 2002 2	28.1	2003	
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective	1999 28.4 32.3 86 266,004 27.9	2000	28.3 22.2 63 283,307	Objective and Pe 2001 28 Objective and Pe	formance D 2002 2	28.1	2003	
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	1999 28.4 32.3 86 266,004 27.9 Please fill in only the not required for futh	2000 2005 De Objectiv	Annual C 28.3 22.2 63 283,307 Annual C 27.8	Objective and Per 2001 28 Objective and Per 2006	formance D 2002 2 formance D 2007	ata 28.1	2003	2
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	1999 28.4 32.3 86 266,004 27.9 Please fill in only the not required for futh not r	2000 2005 De Objectiv	Annual C 28.3 22.2 63 283,307 Annual C 27.8	Objective and Per 2001 28 Objective and Per 2006	formance D 2002 2 formance D 2007	ata 28.1	2003	2

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CSHCN PROGRAMS STATE: ID 1. Family members participate on advisory committee or task forces and are offering training, mentoring, and reimbursement, when appropriate. 3 2. Financial support (financial grants, technical assistance, travel, and child care) is offered for parent activities or parent groups. 2 3. Family members are involved in the Children with Special Health Care Needs elements of the MCH Block Grant Application process. 2 4. Family members are involved in service training of CSHCN staff and providers. 3 5. Family members hired as paid staff or consultants to the State CSHCN program (a family member is hired for his or her expertise as a family member). 0 6. Family members of diverse cultures are involved in all of the above activities. 3 Total Score: 13 Rating Key 0 = Not Met 1 = Partially Met 2 = Mostly Met 3 = Completely Met

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS

[Sec. 505(a)(5)]

STATE: ID FY: 2005

Your State's 5-year Needs Assessment should identify the need for preventive and primary care services for pregnant women, mothers, and infants; preventive and primary care services for children and services for Children with Special Health Care Needs. With each year's Block Grant application, provide a list (whether or not the priority needs change) of the top maternal and child health needs in your state. Using simple sentence or phrase ,list below your State's needs. Examples of such statements are: "To reduce the barriers to the delivery of care for pregnant women, " and "The infant mortality rate for minorities should be reduced."

MCHB will capture annually every State's top 7 to 10 priority needs in an information system for comparison, tracking, and reporting purposes; you must list at least 7 and no more than 10. Note that the numbers listed below are for computer tracking only and are not meant to indicate priority order. If your State wishes to report more than 10 priority needs, list additional priority needs in a note at the form level.

- 1. To reduce infant mortality and low birth weight by reducing unintended pregnancies through family planning services.
- 2. To reduce the adolescent pregnancy rate through improved access to contraceptive services.
- 3. To increase health education on substance abuse and physical abuse issues to pregnant women, mothers and adolescents.
- 4. To increase access to care including oral health (not limited to focusing on health insurance) targeting women, infants and children and children with special health needs.
- 5. To increase prenatal care utilization focusing on population disparities.
- 6. To reduce vaccine preventable diseases by increasing the immunization rates of children 0-2 years of age.
- 7. To reduce morbidity/mortality due to injury.
- 8. To reduce behaviors in adolescents such as suicide and risky sexual activities leading to teen pregnancy and STDs.
- 9. To reduce infant morbidity/mortality by review of infant/child deaths by the Child Mortality Review Team followed by targeted interventions.
- 10. To further develop our capacity for assessment, epidemiology, evaluation, investigation and control of "clusters" of reportable diseases and conditions and to increase data capacity for all MCH populations.

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE(TA) REQUEST

STATE: ID APPLICATION YEAR: 2005

No.	Category of Technical Assistance Requested	Description of Technical Assistance Requested (max 250 characters)	Reason(s) Why Assistance Is Needed (max 250 characters)	What State, Organization or Individual Would You suggest Provide the TA (if known) (max 250 characters)
1.	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Technical assistance will be needed to plan and implement a health promotions/communications/marketing plan.	Assessment of our program has shown a deficiency in achieving the recommended screening benchmark for number of infants who return for a rescreen when needed.	Galen Louis, PhD.
2.	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Guidance on how other states are using parents to support CSHCN programs.	Idaho CSHCN is transitioning from directo services to systems improvement. New role to include parents.	Any states recognized by MCHB as leaders for parent involvment with CSHCN programs.
3.	Other If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Support for a region X MCH meeting linked to the annual block grant reviews.	Opportunity to network to enhance MCH programs among similar geographic and demographic states.	Meeting between MCH staff in Alaska, Washington, Oregon and Idaho.
4.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
5.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
6.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
7.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
8.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
9.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
10.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the			

measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: ID

SP # 1

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Proportion of all pregnancies seen in Reproductive Health Clinics that are unintended

STATUS: Acti

GOAL To reduce unintended pregnancies through family planning services and educational initiatives.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Total number of unintended pregnancies in Reproductive Health clinics statewide.

Denominator

Total number of pregnancies in Reproductive Health clinics statewide.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

State Reproductive Health Program Data

SIGNIFICANCE

Without effective contraception, 89 percent of couples who engage in sexual intercourse regularly will conceive within one year. Teenagers account for about one third of unintended pregnanceis with three quarters of teenage pregnancies occurring among teens who are not practicing contraception. Effective family planning and avoidance of unintended pregnancy can improve infant health. The institute of Medicine's 1985 report "Preventing Low Birthweight" found that the reduction in infant mortality in the United States over the past 20 years is due in part to effective family planning. According to the IOM Report, "The Best Intentions Summary, 1995, Studies show with unintended pregnancies, especially those that are unwanted, the mother is more likely to seek prenatal care after the first trimester or not to obtain care. She is more likely to expose the fetus to harmful substances such as tobacco or alcohol. The child of an unwanted conception is at greater risk of weighing less than 2,500 grams at birth, of dying in its first year of life, of being abused, and of not

receiving sufficient resources for healthy development.

2

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of positive pregnancy tests in Reproductive Health program participants of less than 20 years old

STATUS:

GOAL

To reduce adolescent pregnancy rates through family planning services and educational initiatives.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of positive pregnancy tests in Reproductive Health clinic clients less than 20 years of age.

Denominator:

Total number of Reproductive Health clinic clients less than 20 years of age.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

State Reproductive Health Data

SIGNIFICANCE

The negative effects of unintended adolescent pregnancy include poor pregnancy outcome, emotional and psychological disruption, social and economic effects on the adolescent and her child, and economics consequences for society at large. In addition to the personal costs to teen parents and their babies, societal costs of teen childbearing are immense.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Use of the Idaho CareLine as a clearinghouse (information/referral service) of information for non-health related children's

social and developmental services

STATUS: A

GOAL Expand the Idaho CareLine to be the provider of clearinghouse information on services for young children, birth to three

years old.

DEFINITIONCalls for service categories other than those previously designated for the "MCH toll-free number". This would typically

include such categories as abuse/neglect, childcare, early learning, health insurance, self-reliance, social services, etc.

Numerator:

Number of calls for non-health related children's social and developmental services.

Denominator:

One

Units: Text: N.A.

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Idaho CareLine

SIGNIFICANCE

There are a number of services for very young children in Idaho, but no one place where a parent can turn to find out about all of them. Idaho's Governor has asked for creation of an early child development information clearinghouse for parents,

professionals, and the community for easy access to information on early child development.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of child deaths reviewed by the Idaho Child Mortality Review Team

STATUS:

GOAL To reduce infant mortality through review of death reports for trends accompanied by appropriate health promotion

intervention and system improvements.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of child deaths reviewed.

Denominator:

Total number of child deaths under 18 years of age.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

State death certificates, police, coroner, and autopsy resords, crash analysis reporting system records, child protective service records, public health lab genetics records, birth certificates, prehospital care records, and poison control records.

SIGNIFICANCE

A review of all child deaths will lead to identification of potentially preventable deaths among Idaho children by identifying risk factors which lead to preventable deaths. As a result, specific and feasible recommendations will be made to the Governor on ways in which child mortality may be reduced in Idaho.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Doses of hepatitis A vaccine administered to children at kindergarten entry

STATUS: Active

To reduce the rate of incidence of hepatitis A GOAL

DEFINITION

Numerator:Number of doses of hepatitis A vaccine administered to children at kindergarten entry

Denominator:

Units: 1 Text: N/A

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Idaho Immunization Program doses administered data

SIGNIFICANCE

repatitis A remains one of the most frequently reported vaccine preventable diseases. Current recommendations for the use of hepatitis A vaccine focus primarily on protecting individuals at risk of infection, and preventing infections in those communities or populations with very high rates of disease. A shift is needed from the present strategy, which emphasizes control of community wide hepatitis A outbreaks, to a strategy that focuses on achieving a sustained reduction in disease incidence through prevention of high disease rates in states and communities. Children living in states or communities (including counties or groups of counties) with hepatitis A rates consistently higher than the national average should be vaccinated against hepatitis A. Hepatitis A remains one of the most frequently reported vaccine preventable diseases. Current recommendations for the

Percent of children age 5 years who are caries-free in their primary teeth (have no decayed, missing or filled teeth due to **PERFORMANCE MEASURE:**

tooth decay)

STATUS:

Active

GOAL

To prevent early childhood caries

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of children age 5 years who are caries-free

Denominator:

Number of children age 5 years in the State during the year

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Data on prevalence of baby bottle tooth decay (early childhood caries) is collected annually via the WIC Program nutritional risk screening. During FY 2002, baseline data will be collected on a representative sample of kindergarten students.

SIGNIFICANCE

Dental caries is the single most chronic disease of childhood, occurring five to eight times as frequently as asthma, the Dental caries is the single most chronic disease of childhood, occurring five to eight times as frequently as asthma, the second most chronic disease in children. Early childhood caries (ECC) affects the primary teeth of infants and young children age 1 to 6 years. Possible risk factors include large family size, age and education of the mother, nutritional status of the mother and infant, transfer of infectious organisms from parent or caregiver to infant, and lack of early and routine preventive dental care. Idaho State Smile Survey data collected over the past 15 years shows the need to: 1) start earlier to prevent dental disease, and 2) increase early access to dental care for low-income children. By the second grade, 60% of Idaho students have experienced tooth decay and 27% have unmet treatment needs. According to WIC Program data, 13% of clients age 48 to 59 months have baby bottle tooth decay.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of investigations completed on children with elevated blood lead levels

STATUS: Active

GOAL To reduce the morbidity associated with elevated blood lead levels in children.

DEFINITIONBeginning in FY 1999, completion of an investigation will include a follow-up within six months with documentation of a

reduction in blood lead level to <10 ug/dL.

Numerator

Number of investigations completed on children with elevated blood lead levels.

Denominator:

Total number of reported elevated blood lead levels (>10 ug/dL) in children.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Idaho Epidemiology Program

SIGNIFICANCE

High blood lead levels are among the most prevalent childhood conditions and the most prevalent environmental threat to the health of children in United States. Health effects of highly elevated lead levels include coma, convulsions, profound irreversible mental retardation and seizures, and leath. Lead in the home environment is the major remaining source of human lead exposure. Thorough investigations are necessary to identify sources of exposure. Investigation of children with elevated blood levels will be conducted to identify potential exposure pathways and suggest methods of interupting

exposure.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of deaths attributed to SIDS that are autopsied

STATUS: Active

GOAL To obtain accurate data on the number of SIDS deaths that occur in the state by providing funds for autopsies as part of the

exclusionary diagnosis.

DEFINITION Deaths in infants <1 year of age in which SIDS is the likely cause as determined by the coroner.

Number of deaths attributed to SIDS that are autopsied.

Denominator:

Total number of deaths attributed to SIDS that are reported.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Vital records collected by the state.

SIGNIFICANCE

This period of mortality reflects the environment and the care infants receive. SIDS deaths occur during this period and have been recently reduced due to new infant positioning in the U.S. Idaho's 1997 SIDS rate was approximately 55 percent higher than the preliminary U.S. rate. However, the diagnosis of SIDS is an exclusionary diagnosis that is made after an autopsy, a death scene investigation, and a complete medical history. It is unclear if all deaths currently attributed to SIDS have met this criteria. This review process should all us a greater ability to accurately track such deaths.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of CHIP eligible children who are enrolled in the program

STATUS: Activ

GOAL To work as a partner with Medicaaid in providing outreach to encourage enrollment of eligible children into the Children's

Health Insurance Program (CHIP).

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of children under 19 in the state who are enrolled in the Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP)

Denominator:

Number of children under 19 in the state who are eligible for the CHIP program

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Idaho Medicaid Program

SIGNIFICANCE

As indicated in the National Core Performance Measure #12, uninsured individuals are more likely tohave an episode of needing and not getting medical care. Children without health insurance have an average of 1 less visit per year and receive

less treatment than unsured children with similar problems.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATORS FORMS FOR HSCI 01 THROUGH 04, 07 & 08 - MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: ID

The rate of children hospitalized for asthma (ICD-9 Codes: 493.0 -493.9) per 10,000 children less than five years of age.

		Annual Indicator Data								
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003					
Annual Indicator	0.3	0.2	21.4	26.1	28.0					
Numerator	1	1	99	129	145					
Denominator	39,295	45,775	46,164	49,406	51,875					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final					

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 02

The percent Medicaid enrollees whose ageis less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one initial periodic screen.

			Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u>	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Indicator	100.0	100.0	69.6	69.5	70.5
Numerator	1	1	14,162	14,804	15,706
Denominator	1	1	20,361	21,296	22,276
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 03

The percent State Childrens Health Insurance Program (SCHIP) enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one periodic screen.

			Annual Indicator D	<u>ata</u>	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Indicator	100.0	100.0	47.8	54.0	42.9
Numerator	1	1	300	302	210
Denominator	1	1	627	559	490
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 04

The percent of women (15 through 44) with a live birth during the reporting year whose observed to expected prenatal visits are greater than or equal to 80 percent on the Kotelchuck Index.

	Annual Indicator Data						
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003		
Annual Indicator	69.5	71.2	74.6	75.6	76.2		
Numerator	13,777	13,822	14,147	15,187	16,000		
Denominator	19,815	19,426	18,968	20,092	21,000		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional		

	Annual Indicator Data							
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003			
Annual Indicator	51.0	48.6	44.2	45.3	48.0			
Numerator	6,435	7,738	10,138	11,265	14,952			
Denominator	12,627	15,922	22,918	24,864	31,177			
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	•			Final	Final			
EALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 08		ces from the State Ch	•	ealth Care Needs (CS				
HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 08	g rehabilitative servi		Annual Indicator Da	ealth Care Needs (CS	SHCN) Program.			
Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 08 The percent of State SSI beneficiaries less than 16 years old receivin		ces from the State Ch	•	ealth Care Needs (CS				
HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 08	g rehabilitative servi		Annual Indicator Da	ealth Care Needs (CS	SHCN) Program.			

2,698

Denominator

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

2,873

Final

2,820

2,726

Final

3,077

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01

Field Name: HSC01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note: Medicaid data only.

Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #02

Field Name: HSC02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005
Field Note:
Data not available prior to 2001.

Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03 Field Name: HSC03 Row Name:

Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Data prior to 2001 not available.

Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08 Field Name: HSC08

Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

All youngsters who receive SSI in Idaho automatically qualify for a medical card through Idaho Medicaid. Medicaid, rather than Title V, pays for all rehabilitation services.

FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #05 (MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON) STATE: ID

INDICATOR #05 Comparison of health system capacity				POPULATION	
indicators for Medicaid, non-Medicaid, and all MCH populations in the State	YEAR	DATA SOURCE	MEDICAID	NON-MEDICAID	ALL
a) Percent of low birth weight (< 2,500 grams)	2002	Payment source from birth certificate	6.4	5.6	6.2
b) Infant deaths per 1,000 live births	2002	Payment source from birth certificate	6.2	4.5	6.1
c) Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginning in the first trimester	2002	Payment source from birth certificate	73.7	86.4	82.1
d) Percent of pregnant women with adequate prenatal care(observed to expected prenatal visits is greater than or equal to 80% [Kotelchuck Index])	2002	Payment source from birth certificate	70.1	<u>78.7</u>	75.6

FORM 18
HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL)
STATE: ID

INDICATOR #06 The percent of poverty level for eligibility in the State's Medicaid programs for infants (0 to 1), children, Medicaid and pregnant women.	YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL MEDICAID (Valid range: 100-300 percent)
a) Infants (0 to 1)	2003	133_
b) Medicaid Children (Age range 1 to 5) (Age range 6 to 18) (Age range 19 to 19)	2003	133 100 100
c) Pregnant Women	2003	133

FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL) STATE: ID

INDICATOR #06 The percent of poverty level for eligibility in the State's SCHIP programs for infants (0 to 1), children, SCHIP and pregnant women.	YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL SCHIP
a) Infants (0 to 1)	2003	150
b) Medicaid Children (Age range 1 to 2) (Age range 2 to 3) (Age range 3 to 19)	2003	150 150 150
c) Pregnant Women	2003	133_

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Indicator 06 - Medicaid

Field Name: Med_Children Row Name: Medicaid Children

Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

coverage extends through age 5 for the 133% FPL category and begins at age 6 to the month of the childs 19th birthday for the 100% FPL category. There is no difference between age 18 and 19 but this system would not allow me to save it with any blanks.

Section Number: Indicator 06 - SCHIP

Field Name: SCHIP_Children Row Name: SCHIP Children

Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

SCHIP in Idaho covers newborns through the month of their 19th birthday at the same income standard of 150% of the FPL.

Section Number: Indicator 06 - SCHIP

Field Name: SCHIP_Women Row Name: Pregnant Women

Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Pregnant women in Idaho can only qualify for Medicaid not SCHIP. SCHIP is only for children to the month of their 19th birthday.

Section Number: Indicator 05 Field Name: LowBirthWeight

Row Name: Percent of ow birth weight (<2,500 grams)

Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Data for Mediciad/Non-Mediciad are based on live births to Idaho residents occurring in Idaho. Data from the birth certificate on payment source are not available for out of

Data for All are based on all births to Idaho resident mothers.

Section Number: Indicator 05 Field Name: InfantDeath

Row Name: Infant deaths per 1,000 live births

Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Data Mediciad/Non-Mediciad are based on live births and infant deaths to Idaho residents occurring in Idaho. Data from the birth certificate on payment source are not

available for out of state births.

Data for All are based on all births to Idaho resident mothers.

Section Number: Indicator 05 Field Name: CareFirstTrimester

Row Name: Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginning in the first trimester

Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Data for Mediciad/Non-Mediciad are based on live births to Idaho residents occurring in Idaho. Data from the birth certificate on payment source are not available for out of

Data for All are based on all births to Idaho resident mothers.

Section Number: Indicator 05 Field Name: AdequateCare

Row Name: Percent of pregnant women with adequate prenatal care

Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Data for Mediciad/Non-Mediciad are based on live births to Idaho residents occurring in Idaho. Data from the birth certificate on payment source are not available for out of

state births.

Data for All are based on all births to Idaho resident mothers.

FORM 19 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: ID

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09A (General MCH Data Capacity)
(The Ability of the State to Assure MCH Program Access to Policy and Program Relevant Informatioin)

	Does your MCH program have the ability to obtain	Does your MCH program have Direct access to the
DATABASES OR SURVEYS	data for program planning or policy purposes in a timely manner? (Select 1 - 3) *	electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
ANNUAL DATA LINKAGES Annual linkage of infant birth and infant death certificates	3	Yes
Annual linkage of birth certificates and Medicaid Eligibility or Paid Claims Files	1	No
Annual linkage of birth certificates and WIC eligibility files	1	No
Annual linkage of birth certificates and newborn screening files	1	No
REGISTRIES AND SURVEYS Hospital discharge survey for at least 90% of in-State discharges	1	No
Annual birth defects surveillance system	1	No
Survey of recent mothers at least every two years (like PRAMS)	3	Yes

*Where:

- 1 = No, the MCH agency does not have this ability.
 2 = Yes, the MCH agency sometimes has this ability, but not on a consistent basis.
 3 = Yes, the MCH agency always has this ability.

FORM 19 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: ID

DATA SOURCES	Does your state participate in the YRBS survey? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to the state YRBS database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	3	No
Other:		

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09C (Data Capacity) Overweight/Obesity (The Ability of the State to Determine the Percent of Children Who are Obese or Overweight)

Data Source	Does your state participate in this survey/data source? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to this electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	3	No
Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System (PedNSS)	3	Yes
WIC Program Data	3	Yes
Other:		

*Where:

- 1 = No
- 2 = Yes, the State participates but the sample size is not large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.
- 3 = Yes, the State participates and the sample size is large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.

Notes:

1. HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09B was formerly reported as Developmental Health Status Indicator #05.

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS #01-#05 MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: ID

	Si	TATE: ID				
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01A						
The percent of live births weighing less than 2,500 grams.						
				Annual Indicator D	ata	
	1999	2000		2001	2002	2003
Annual Indicator		6.2	6.7	6.4	NaN	
Numerator	1,2	225	1,357	1,333	0	
Denominator	19,8	349	20,294	20,686	0	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	ı				Provisional	
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01B The percent of live singleton births weighing less than 2,500 grams.						
				Annual Indicator D	ata	
	1999	2000		2001	2002	2003
Annual Indicator	'	4.8	5.0	0.7	NaN	
Numerator	. 9	935	995	134	0	
Denominator	19,3	365	19,708	20,109	0	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Provisional	'
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A						
The percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.						
				Annual Indicator D	ata	
	1999	2000		2001	2002	2003
Annual Indicator		1.1	1.1	1.0	NaN	
Numerator	2	212	220	200	0	
Denominator			00.004		•	
	19,8	349	20,294	20,686	0	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?		349	20,294	20,686	Provisional	
		349	20,294	20,686		
Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02B The percent of live singleton births weighing less than 1,500 grams.		349	20,294	20,686		
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02B		<u> </u>	20,294	20,686 Annual Indicator D	Provisional	
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02B		2000	20,294		Provisional	2003
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02B	1999	2000	0.9	Annual Indicator D	Provisional ata	2003
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02B The percent of live singleton births weighing less than 1,500 grams. Annual Indicator	1999	2000		Annual Indicator D	Provisional ata	2003
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02B The percent of live singleton births weighing less than 1,500 grams. Annual Indicator	1999	2000 0.8	0.9	Annual Indicator D	Provisional ata	2003

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

14 years an	d younger.			
		Annual Indicator Da		
	2000	2001	2002	2003
17.6	12.5	14.9		
	38			<u> </u>
284,867	303,007	309,622		
years and yo	ounger due to motor	vehicle crashes.		
0.0			2002	2003
	·			
284,867	303,007	309,622		
ashes amon	g youth aged 15 thro	ugh 24 years.		
		Annual Indicator Da		
		Annual indicator Da	ita	
	2000	2001	2002	2003
34.4				2003
34.4 72	31.7	38.3		2003
72	31.7	2001 38.3 80		2003
Y	284,867 years and you 9.8 28 284,867	284,867 303,007 years and younger due to motor 2000 9.8 6.3 28 19 284,867 303,007	284,867 303,007 309,622 years and younger due to motor vehicle crashes. Annual Indicator Day 2000 2001 9.8 6.3 5.5 28 19 17 284,867 303,007 309,622 ashes among youth aged 15 through 24 years.	284,867 303,007 309,622 years and younger due to motor vehicle crashes. Annual Indicator Data 2000 2001 2002 9.8 6.3 5.5 28 19 17 284,867 303,007 309,622

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

ne rate per 100,000 of nonfatal injuries due to motor vehicle crashes	among children age	ed 14 years and your	ger.		
			Annual Indicat	tor Data	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Indicator	461.6	427.7			
Numerator	1,314				
Denominator	284,671	303,007			
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 04C					
he rate per 100,000 of nonfatal injuries due to motor vehicle crashes	among youth aged	15 through 24 years.			
			Annual Indicat	tor Data	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Indicator		2,493.0		_	
	4,951				
Denominator	205,848	204,852		<u></u>	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A	case of chlamydia.				
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A	·		Annual Indicat		
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of	1999	2000	Annual Indicat	t <u>or Data</u> 2002	2003
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator	1999	11.5			2003
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator	1999 11.7 643	11.5 631			2003
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999 11.7 643	11.5 631			2003
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A ne rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator	1999 11.7 643	11.5 631			2003
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1999 11.7 643	11.5 631			2003
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1999 11.7 643 54,878	11.5 631			2003
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	11.7 643 54,878	11.5 631 54,807	Annual Indicat	2002	
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B The rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of	1999 11.7 643 54,878 case of chlamydia.	11.5 631 54,807	2001	2002	2003
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999 11.7 643 54,878 case of chlamydia. 1999 3.0	11.5 631 54,807	Annual Indicat	2002	
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B The rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of Annual Indicator	1999 11.7 643 54,878 case of chlamydia.	11.5 631 54,807	Annual Indicat	2002	

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	0							
Children 1 through 4	0							
Children 5 through 9	0							
Children 10 through 14	0							
Children 15 through 19	0							
Children 20 through 24	0							
Children 0 through 24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

HSI #06B - Demographics (Total Population) Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by sub-populations of age group and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Infants 0 to 1			
Children 1 through 4			
Children 5 through 9			
Children 10 through 14			
Children 15 through 19			
Children 20 through 24			
Children 0 through 24	0	0	0

HSI #07A - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Women < 15	0							
Women 15 through 17	0							
Women 18 through 19	0							
Women 20 through 34	0							
Women 35 or older	0							
Women of all ages	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

HSI #07B - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Women < 15			
Women 15 through 17			
Women 18 through 19			
Women 20 through 34			
Women 35 or older			
Women of all ages	0	0	0

HSI #08A - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and race. (Demographics) For both parts A and B: Reporting Year: 0 Is this data from a State Projection? No

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	0							
Children 1 through 4	0							
Children 5 through 9	0							
Children 10 through 14	0							
Children 15 through 19	0							
Children 20 through 24	0							
Children 0 through 24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

HSI #08B - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Infants 0 to 1			
Children 1 through 4			
Children 5 through 9			
Children 10 through 14			
Children 15 through 19			
Children 20 through 24			
Children 0 through 24	0	0	0

HSI #09A - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data) Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	0								
Percent in household headed by single parent									
Percent in TANF (Grant) families									
Number enrolled in Medicaid	0								
Number enrolled in SCHIP	0								
Number living in foster home care	0								
Number enrolled in food stamp program	0								
Number enrolled in WIC	0								
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests									
Percentage of high school drop- outs (grade 9 through 12)									

HSI #09B - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data) Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by ethnicity.(Demographics)

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19				
Percent in household headed by single parent				
Percent in TANF (Grant) families				
Number enrolled in Medicaid				
Number enrolled in SCHIP				
Number living in foster home care				
Number enrolled in food stamp program				
Number enrolled in WIC				
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests				
Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)				

HSI #10 - Demographics (Geographic Living Area) Geographic living area for all resident children aged 0 through 19 years old. (Demographics)

Reporting Year: Is this data from a State Projection? No

GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREAS	TOTAL
Living in metropolitan areas	
Living in urban areas	
Living in rural areas	
Living in frontier areas	
Fotal - all children 0 through 19	0

FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: ID

HSI #11 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL
Total Population	
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	
100% of poverty	
200% of poverty	

FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: ID

HSI #12 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population aged 0 through 19 at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)

Reporting Year: Is this data from a State Projection? No

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL
Children 0 through 19 years old	
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	
100% of poverty	
200% of poverty	

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None